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Highlights

⇒ Well-preserved Jurassic $\delta^2$H signal of $n$-alkanes enables paleoclimatic interpretations.
⇒ Oldest ever glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers temperatures are reconstructed.
⇒ Humid/cool temperate Late Pliensbachian, associated with high seasonality.
⇒ Slightly less humid/warmer conditions in the Early Toarcian.
⇒ No drastic changes recorded by the $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values.

Abstract

The Taskomirsay section (South Kazakhstan) is a unique Pliensbachian-Toarcian sequence of lignites, clayey layers and silty-sandstones deposited in a fluvial/lacustrine environment with nearby swampy areas. This period, characterized by a drastic climate change, has been particularly studied in Western Tethyan marine environments, whereas very few studies focused on continental settings. Paleoflora analyses, associated with a multi-isotope approach, based on well-preserved Type-III bulk organic nitrogen isotopes ($\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$) and hydrogen isotopic composition ($\delta^2$H) of $n$-alkanes, were developed to document paleoclimatic changes in the area. Sporomorph associations and fossil woods revealed a globally warm- to cool-temperate climate – characterized by Xenoxylon, a conifer morphogenus biogeographically related to cool/humid settings – apart from slightly less humid and warmer conditions in the early Toarcian. Warmer conditions are supported by reconstructed Mean Annual Air Temperatures (MAATs), based on the first branched glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (brGDGTs) ever recorded in the Early Jurassic. Nevertheless, no drastic changes were recorded in the $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values; its signal being attributed to tenuous equilibrium between water- and nutrient-availability via intense N-recycling. Based on $n$-alkane distributions, sources of organic matter were separated in two pools: (i) a purely
terrestrial (n-C$_{27}$) and (ii) an “aquatic” pool (n-C$_{23}$) constituted of vegetation that thrived under almost permanent water supply. The n-alkane $\delta^{2}$H values (−248 to −151 ‰) as well as their amounts and average chain lengths (ACL) are in agreement with cool-temperate conditions in the Pliensbachian and less humid/warmer conditions in the early Toarcian. The isotopic difference between $\delta^{2}$H values of n-C$_{27}$ and n-C$_{23}$ ($\delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$) suggests enhanced seasonality during the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition and low seasonality in the early Toarcian, in agreement with temperate climate-regime. Finally, contrasted response to paleoclimate changes between markers suggests different spatial integration of those proxies. The role of sea-level variations for $\delta^{2}$H values might also resolve this contrasted response.
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1. Introduction

Major paleoenvironmental changes have been documented during the Early Jurassic and particularly in Western Tethyan marine sections during the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition (Jenkyns, 1988; Bassoullet and Baudin, 1994; Bailey et al., 2003; Morard et al., 2003; Rosales et al., 2004; Hesselbo et al., 2007; Suan et al., 2010; Hermoso et al., 2012; Korte et al., 2015). During the late Pliensbachian, a 5°—6 °C decrease in sea surface temperatures has been evidenced by $\delta^{18}$O and Mg/Ca of carbonates, possibly related to ice sheet development at high latitudes (Bailey et al., 2003; Rosales et al., 2004; van de Schootbrugge et al., 2005; Suan et al., 2010), and a sea level drop (Hallam, 1967; Hesselbo and Jenkyns, 1998). Then, a period of drastic warming (~8 °C) has been recorded in sea-surface temperatures during the early Toarcian (Bailey et al., 2003; Rosales et al., 2004; Suan et al., 2010), associated with a sea level rise (Hallam, 1967; Hesselbo and Jenkyns, 1998). The global scale of
paleoenvironmental disturbances during the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition was confirmed
by studies on sites located outside Europe in (i) the Neuquén Basin in Argentina (Al-Suwaidi
et al., 2010), (ii) the High Atlas, Morocco (Bodin et al., 2010), (iii) the Qaidam Basin,
Northwest China (Wang et al., 2005), (iv) the Clarence-Moreton Basin, Eastern Australia
(Jansson et al., 2008) and (v) the Arctic (Suan et al., 2011). However, only few studies have
focused on non-marine records (Wang et al., 2005; Jansson et al., 2008), mainly because
well-dated terrestrial records for the Early Jurassic are much less common than marine ones
(Sobel, 1999).

The Taskomirsay section (South Kazakhstan, Central Asia) is made of non-marine, coal-
rich sediments that encompass the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition (Schnyder et al.,
accepted). This section is a unique target for paleoclimatic reconstructions prior to and during
the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition in a continental setting. A multi-proxy approach was
developed to document paleoclimatic changes in the area based on (i) palynological and
paleobotanical records, (ii) bulk geochemistry (Rock-Eval and $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$), (iii) $n$-alkane
distribution and their hydrogen isotopic composition ($\delta^2$H), and (iv) branched glycerol dialkyl
glycerol tetraethers (brGDGTs).

Palynological records (Schnyder et al., accepted), combined with paleobotanical
evidences can help to decipher paleoclimatic background as some wood taxa are
paleoecologically well constrained (Philippe and Thévenard, 1996; Philippe and
Tchoumatchenco, 2008; Philippe et al., 2013; Oh et al., 2015). Recently, $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ measured in
lignites and clayey layers was used to indicate wet/dry cycles during the Paleocene-Eocene
transition (Storme et al., 2012) and the Eocene-Oligocene transition (Tramoy et al., 2016).
Early studies indeed showed that $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values were positively correlated to temperature and
negatively to precipitation in Quaternary and modern plants (Austin and Vitousek, 1998;
Handley et al., 1999; Amundson et al., 2003; Swap et al., 2004; Liu and Wang, 2008).
Considering the potential of $\delta^{15}N_{org}$ as a paleoclimatic marker for pre-Quaternary sediments (Storme et al., 2012; Tramoy et al., 2016), it will be tested in the Early Jurassic of the Taskomirsay sequence. Similarly, compound-specific $\delta^2H$ values are often used as a paleohydrological proxy (Sachse et al., 2012; Sessions, 2016). Major calibration efforts during the last decade allowed improving their use for paleoclimatic reconstructions in the Quaternary (e.g., Hou et al., 2006; Jacob et al., 2007; Mügler et al., 2008; Aichner et al., 2010), but also in the Cenozoic (Andersen et al., 2001; Pagani et al., 2006; Garel et al., 2013), and even in the Paleozoic (Dawson et al., 2004; Izart et al., 2012). However, to the best of our knowledge, compound-specific $\delta^2H$ values have only been scarcely used in Mesozoic sediments and not for paleoclimatic purpose (Radke et al., 2005). Yet, the $\delta^2H$ of $n$-alkanes preserved in ancient sediments has great potential because $n$-alkanes are much less prone to diagenetic effect than other compounds, because H is strongly bound to C and thus alkanes retain their original hydrogen isotopic composition (Yang and Huang, 2003; Izart et al., 2012; Sessions, 2016). During the last decade, proxies based on branched bacterial membrane lipids (brGDGTs; Schouten et al., 2013), have been developed to reconstruct Mean Annual Air Temperatures in terrestrial settings (MAAT; Weijers et al., 2007; Peterse et al., 2012; Coffin et al., 2014) and their use for ancient sediments deserves evaluation.

The aim of the present study was to assess the paleoclimatic conditions during the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition in the sedimentary succession of Taskomirsay using multi-proxy analyses: paleobotany, $\delta^{15}N_{org}$, $n$-alkane distributions and $\delta^2H$ values, and, although restricted to a selected set of samples, brGDGTs.
2. Material and methods

2.1. Taskomirsay section

The Taskomirsay section is located in the Karatau (Leontiev Graben), South Kazakhstan, which is one of the numerous continental basins, in central Asia, aligned along the North Tethyan-paleomargin (Fig. 1). These basins were formed by collisions between Eurasia and several blocks (Tarim, Tian Shan and Pamir Kunlun) in a transtensional/transpressional context between the Late Triassic and the Early Jurassic (Sobel, 1999). During the Early Jurassic, the paleolatitudinal position of the Karatau basin was estimated to 36° ± 8° N, based on paleomagnetic reconstructions (B. Vrielynck, pers. com. 2014), in agreement with paleofloral assemblages (40° N; Kirichkova and Doludenko, 1996), which is very close to present latitudes (Fig. 1). Thick piles of terrestrial sediments were deposited thanks to the coexisting high tectonic subsidence.

The study section is 53.5 m thick (0 m represents the base of the section) and shows 6 organic-rich sedimentary cycles (Fig. 2; Schnyder et al., accepted). Each cycle exhibits lignite beds (noted LB1 to LB6) overlaid by non-laminated clayey layers and silty-sandstone showing root and trunk surfaces at their top. The succession of lignites, clayey layers and silty-sandstones suggests a meandering river system, in which developed oxbow lakes and peat deposits thanks to the lateral migration of the river system. At the top of the section, a more open and less marginal lacustrine environment under storm influence is deduced from Botryococcus, clay/silt alternations and hummocky cross-beddings (HCS) (Schnyder et al., accepted). Wood fragments are frequent along the section and are particularly concentrated in three surfaces labeled TP1, TP2 and TP3 (Fig. 2). Macroscopically, they exhibit an excellent morphological preservation and are found in the tops and insides of silty-sandstones, and always in vertical position, suggesting in situ trunks or roots (Schnyder et al., accepted). These sedimentological features are in agreement with peaty/swampy forests in lowland
terrains and trees in sandy river banks and/or in upland forests, as might be expected from the
paleoclimatic latitudinal patterns during the Early Jurassic, with warm to cool-temperate
conditions prevailing from mid to high boreal latitudes (Miao et al., 1989; Rees et al., 2000;
Wang et al., 2005).

Despite the common difficulty to date such continental settings, the Pliensbachian-
Toarcian transition was identified between ~26 m and ~35 m, based on sporomorph
associations and organic carbon isotopes ($\delta^{13}$C$_{org}$; Schnyder et al., accepted). The section was
thus dated no younger than the early Toarcian and no older than the mid-Pliensbachian.

2.2. Analytical methods

2.2.1. Fossil wood analyses

Fossil wood samples were studied with collodion micro-casting, following a method
described in Marynowski et al. (2013). Despite their good macroscopic morphological
preservation, woody axes were revealed to be microscopically degraded by both bacterial and
fungal rots. This condition is consistent with a relatively slow burial history, before
diagenesis stopped biological decay. As a consequence, only one specimen was preserved
enough for specific identification, although the others did not display significantly different
features that could suggest a different systematic position. The wood specimen was identified
at a generic level from the Philippe and Bamford (2008) key and at a species level with the
Philippe et al. (2013) key.

2.2.2. Bulk organic analyses

Total organic carbon (TOC) values were obtained for 100 samples, sampled regularly
along the study section, using a Leco automatic carbon analyzer (IR-212) that gives more
reliable TOC values for samples containing large amounts of terrestrial OM than Rock-Eval
pyrolysis (Espitalié et al., 1985). A total of 88 samples, including 3 replicates, were analyzed by Rock-Eval pyrolysis using a Rock-Eval 6. For this study, the following Rock-Eval parameters were used: (i) Hydrogen Index (HI, mg HC/g TOC), which is the amount of products released during pyrolysis normalized to TOC values; (ii) Oxygen Index (OI, mg CO₂/g TOC), calculated from the amounts of CO and CO₂ released during pyrolysis, which represents the oxygen content of the OM; and (iii) T_max, which is the temperature of the pyrolysis oven recorded at the maximum of HC production (Espitalié et al., 1985). After Rock-Eval pyrolysis, a few samples were suspected to contain siderite that compromised measurements of the Rock-Eval parameters, especially for OI values. This suspicion was supported by very low dissolution rate of carbonates in 6N HCl. Concerned samples were removed from the dataset. In addition, samples with low S2 peak values (S2 <0.3) – leading to misestimation of HI values, were also removed. In total, 29 samples were removed from the dataset and will not be discussed further.

2.2.3. Bulk organic nitrogen isotopes (δ¹⁵N орг)

A total of 52 samples were analyzed for δ¹⁵N орг. Only samples with TOC values >1 wt. % were selected, because nitrogen content in Taskomirsay sediments is usually very low. Because inorganic nitrogen (N bind) is strongly bound to clay minerals as ammonium (Müller, 1977), it is easier to remove organic nitrogen (N org) and recalculate the δ¹⁵N org by mass-balance, knowing total nitrogen (N tot), N bind and their respective isotopic signatures δ¹⁵N tot and δ¹⁵N bind (Storme et al., 2012). N tot and δ¹⁵N tot were measured on powdered/decalcified samples, whereas N bind and δ¹⁵N bind were measured on subsamples that were treated with KOBr-KOH solution (2 mol/l) to eliminate the N org (Silva and Bremner, 1966; Schubert and Calvert, 2001). The solution consisted of 6 ml pure bromine added at 0.5 mL/min to 200 ml of 2M KOH cooled with ice. Between 500 to 1000 mg of subsamples were immersed in 20
ml of the KOBr-KOH solution with magnetic stirring. After 2 hours, 60 ml of distilled water was added to each subsample before being boiled in an oil-bath at ~200 °C for at least 5 min. With this treatment, organic nitrogen is liberated in ammonium form and stays in solution. The mixture was washed the next day by repetitive centrifugation (2x; 4000 rpm; 1.5 min) with 0.5 M of KCl to remove the released ammonium. Potassium added to the solution process prevents the adsorption by clay minerals of the ammonium released during the previous oxidation. Then, subsamples were washed again with distilled water to remove nitrogen complex salts from the solution. Samples were finally dried and powdered again for isotope analyses.

\( N_{\text{tot}}, N_{\text{bnd}} \) of samples and their respective isotopic signature \( \delta^{15}N_{\text{tot}} \) and \( \delta^{15}N_{\text{bnd}} \) were determined by mass spectrometry using a Thermo Scientific Delta V plus mass spectrometer connected to a ConFlo IV dilution system, coupled with a Flash 2000 analyzer for elemental analyses. The analytical accuracy and precision of the system were monitored using tyrosine \((\delta^{15}N = 10.01 \%)\) as an internal laboratory standard, that was calibrated on international standards IAEA-N-1 \((\delta^{15}N = 0.4 \%)\), IAEA-N-2 \((\delta^{15}N = 20.3 \%)\) and IAEA-NO-3 \((\delta^{15}N = 4.7 \%)\). Isotopic values were then expressed relative to the international \(N_2\) air reference. The overall precision was better than 0.2 \% above 40 µg of nitrogen and 0.3 \% below this amount. Replicate analyses led to a mean standard deviation better than 0.1 \% for \( \delta^{15}N \) values and better than 0.001 \% for the nitrogen content. For isotopic values measured with nitrogen amount above 40 µg, the replicate standard deviation was applied. For those measured below this amount, the standard deviation based on tyrosine (0.3 \%) was applied, even if the standard deviation based on replicates was lower.
2.2.4. Lipid extraction

Lipid extractions were performed on 11 lignites, 2 trunk/root-like samples, and 12 clayey layers. Samples were extracted with an accelerated solvent extractor (ASE 100, Dionex®), using a dichloromethane (DCM):methanol (MeOH) (2:1, v:v) mixture. A 34 mL cell was used with the following extraction protocol: temperature at 60 °C, pressure at $10^6$ Pa, one static time during 20 min, 100 % flush and 100 s purge, all repeated 3 times. The lipid extract was then concentrated under vacuum and further evaporated under a smooth nitrogen flow to avoid loss of volatile molecules. The total lipid extracts (TLE) were then weighed, dissolved in heptane and separated on alumina solid phase extraction columns into an apolar and a polar fraction. The apolar fraction was eluted with heptane:DCM (99:1, v:v) and the polar fraction was eluted with DCM:MeOH (2:1, v:v). $C_{12}$-alkane was added as an internal standard before analysis by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) for identification and quantification purposes. $n$-Alkane content, their Carbon Preference Index (CPI) and average chain length (ACL) were calculated as follows, over the range $C_{21}$-$C_{35}$:

$n$-alkane content = $\Sigma[C_i]$ \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$CPI = \frac{1}{2} \times \left( \frac{\Sigma[C_{odd \ (21-35)}]}{\Sigma[C_{even \ (20-34)}]} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \times \left( \frac{\Sigma[C_{odd \ (21-35)}]}{\Sigma[C_{even \ (22-36)}]} \right) \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

$$ACL = \Sigma(C_i \times [C_i])/[C_i] \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

where $[C_i]$ is the concentration of the odd $n$-alkanes ($\mu$g/g TOC) with carbon number $C_i$.

Apolar fractions were further eluted with heptane on silica gel-type silica columns in order to purify the $n$-alkane fraction before $\delta^2$H analysis by gas chromatography-isotope ratio.
mass spectrometry (GC-irMS). Silica was previously extracted with DCM, then activated at 120 °C for 24 h and finally deactivated with 5 wt. % H₂O.

2.2.5. LC-MS analysis

Polar fractions obtained after separation of the TLEs over alumina columns were rotary evaporated, re-dissolved in 1 ml heptane and centrifuged using an Eppendorf Mini Spin centrifuge (1 min, 7000 rpm). The supernatant was collected and analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography-atmospheric pressure chemical ionization mass spectrometry (HPLC/APCI-MS). HPLC/APCI-MS analyses were performed with a Shimadzu LCMS-2020. GDGTs were analyzed using a procedure described in Huguet et al. (2013). MAAT were calculated using Weijers et al. (2007) and Peterse et al. (2012) calibrations, based on MBT and CBT indices as described in Coffinet et al. (2014).

2.2.6. GC-MS analysis

GC-MS analyses were performed on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatograph coupled with an Agilent 5973 Mass Selective Detector mass-spectrometer. The GC was fitted with a Restek RTX-5Sil-MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.5 µm film thickness) under constant helium flow of 1 ml/min. Samples were dissolved in heptane and 1 µl injected in splitless mode with the injector temperature set at 320 °C. GC operating conditions were as follow: initial temperature hold at 80 °C for 30 s, then increased from 80 to 100 °C at 10 °C/min and from 100 to 320 °C at 4 °C/min with a final isothermal hold at 320 °C for 20 min. The mass spectrometer was operated in the electron ionization (EI) mode at 70 eV ionization energy and scanned from 35 to 800 Da. Biomarkers were identified based on mass spectra and retention times. Quantification was performed thanks to the peak area in Total Ion Current.
(TIC) of each identified molecule. The peak areas were then normalized to the peak area of
the internal standard C\textsubscript{12}-alkane, measured on the TIC and then normalized to the total
organic carbon (TOC) of the sample.

2.2.7. GC-irMS analysis ($\delta^2$H)

The hydrogen isotopic composition of $n$-alkanes ($\delta^2$H) was determined by GC-irMS using
a Trace GC chromatograph equipped with a Triplus autosampler, connected to a GC-Isolink
pyrolysis interface, a ConFlo IV dilution system coupled to a DeltaV Advantage isotope ratio
mass spectrometer, all from ThermoElectron (Bremen, Germany). A mixture of $n$-alkanes ($n$-
C\textsubscript{16} to $n$-C\textsubscript{30}, Arndt Schimmelmann, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN, USA) was
analyzed before and after each set of 5 injections, allowing monitoring the precision and
accuracy of the system. The overall precision for the $n$-alkane standard $\delta^2$H values was better
than 6 ‰ and were in good agreement with those measured off line. H\textsubscript{2} gas with known
isotopic composition was used as an internal reference and $\delta^2$H values of samples were
normalized to the VSMOW international isotopic scale by using the standard $n$-alkane
mixture. The mean precision (standard deviation) for triplicate analyses was better than 6 ‰
for $\delta^2$H values of the C\textsubscript{17} to C\textsubscript{35} $n$-alkanes and of pristane, and better than 7 ‰ for phytane.
The H\textsuperscript{3+} factor was calculated prior to analyses and was consistently below 3 ppm V\textsuperscript{-1}.
Because of the low amount of biomarkers in some samples, only 18 samples could be
analyzed for $\delta^2$H out of 25 lipid extracts. Among the 18 samples, it was not possible to
measure accurately the whole range of $n$-alkanes for all samples, depending on their
respective concentration.
3. Results

3.1. Fossil woods

Many pieces of fossil woods are present along the section, although only one sample was positively identified as *Xenoxyylon fuxinense* Ding in the TP3 trunk surface. The other samples (trunk surfaces 1, 2 and 3) did not seem to differ. However, their preservation state was too poor for safe identification. *X. fuxinense* is a secondary xylem documented from the Later Liassic (Negoya Fm.) of the Kuruma Group, Japan, to the Early Cretaceous (Aptian-Albian, Fuxin Fm.) of Liaoning, China. It is an Asian endemic taxon usually restricted to relatively high paleolatitudes (Philippe and Thévenard, 1996; Philippe et al., 2013).

3.2. Bulk geochemistry

Exhaustive TOC values and Rock-Eval data are available in supplementary data. TOC values range from 38.7 to 75.8 wt. % in lignites, from 0 to 24.4 wt. % in clayey layers and from 0 to 0.9 wt. % in silty-sandstones. Except for one coalified root/trunk, $T_{\text{max}}$ values are always below 440 °C (424 °C in average). In lignites, HI values range from 38 to 217 mg HC/g TOC (128 mg HC/g on average) and OI values range from 19 to 80 mg CO$_2$g TOC (35 mg CO$_2$g TOC on average). In clayey layers, HI values range from 29 to 136 mg HC/g TOC (74 mg HC/g on average) and OI values range from 19 to 165 mg CO$_2$g TOC (52 mg CO$_2$g TOC on average). In an HI-OI diagram, the Taskomirsay samples plot in the Type-III zone (Fig. 3), suggesting an OM dominated by terrestrial plants (Espitalié et al., 1985).

Total nitrogen contents range between 0.05 and 1.48 wt. % (Table 1). Considering high TOC values (>40 %) in lignites and the very low amount of clay in this lithology, we assume that $N_{\text{tot}}$ is almost equal to $N_{\text{org}}$. In a plot of $\%N_{\text{tot}}$ vs $\%$TOC (Fig. 4), there is an intercept of...
0.04 % N at 0 % TOC showing a significant fraction of inorganic N bound in clay minerals (Schubert and Calvert, 2001) for samples below 20 % of TOC (clayey layers), whereas there is a negligible intercept of 0.002 % N when considering %N$_{org}$ vs %TOC. Thus, to calculate accurate atomic C/N ratios, we used N$_{tot}$ for lignites and N$_{org}$ for clayey layers. In Taskomirsay, C/N ratios range from 38 to 132 (Table 1).

3.3. Nitrogen isotopes

Together with the absence of clay – prone to adsorb inorganic nitrogen (Müller, 1977) – in lignites or coalified trunks, we argue for the use of the $\delta^{15}$N$_{tot}$ values as representatives of the $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values. Indeed, Tramoy et al. (2016) have shown that $\delta^{15}$N$_{tot}$ values were driven by $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values in Eocene-Oligocene terrestrial sediments. In Taskomirsay, the $\delta^{15}$N$_{tot}$ values range between 2.5 and 3.8 wt. ‰ (3.2 ‰ on average; Table 1 and Fig. 2). Except for one sample (TP3-224), $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values of clay samples were almost equal to their $\delta^{15}$N$_{tot}$ values.

3.4. Alkanes

$n$-Alkane amount range between 11 and 621 µg/g TOC (192 µg/g TOC on average; Table 2), except TP1-65 (clay) that yielded ~3300 µg/g TOC. It is noteworthy that the amount of $n$-alkanes decreases from the base of the section to the base of LB5 at 32.3 m, before it increases to the top. These trends are even more marked within lignite beds, with values gradually decreasing from LB1 to LB5 (315 µg/g TOC to 18 µg/g TOC on average), while TOC values remain similar (Table 2; Fig. 5).

The distribution of $n$-alkanes is highly variable along the section, with patterns generally not being related to lithology or depth (Fig. 5). The distribution of $n$-alkanes in lignite beds from the bottom part (LB1 and LB2) is similar, with a bimodal distribution: a
strong maximum at C_{35} and a sub-maximum at C_{29}. In LB3, distribution is also bimodal with a maximum at C_{21} or C_{23} and strong sub-maximum at C_{35}. The lignites from the middle part of the section in LB4 and LB5 have a unimodal distribution maximizing at C_{21} or C_{23}. In LB6, three different patterns are recorded: a unimodal distribution maximizing at C_{25} in TP3-195, at C_{21} in TP3-203 and pattern similar to those reported in LB1 and LB2 in TP3-216.

Clayey samples TP1-22, TP3-157 and TP3-176 show a unimodal distribution maximizing at C_{25} or C_{27}, whereas the distribution is affected by an unusual amount of C_{18} in most of the others, leading to a bimodal and even trimodal distribution in TP3-224 that results from a strong contribution of C_{35} (Fig. 5). In particular, the two trunk/root samples (TP1-65 and TP1-114) show similar patterns clearly maximizing at C_{23}.

Most of the samples have a marked odd/even predominance in the range C_{21} to C_{35}. They often exhibit Carbon Preference Indices (CPI) higher than 2 and sometimes reaching 5.5 (Table 2). This odd predominance is mainly driven by the longest homologues (> C_{25}), which are usually attributed to higher plant leaf-wax input (Eglinton et al., 1962; Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967; Meyers, 1997). Shorter-chain n-alkanes (<C_{21}) – generally attributed to algal/bacterial sources (Blumer et al., 1971; Cranwell et al., 1987; Giger et al., 1980; Meyers, 1997; Ladygina et al., 2006) – are in relatively low amounts (24 wt. % ± 15%) when compared to n-alkanes above C_{21}.

The ACL values of the n-alkanes were calculated over the range C_{21}-C_{35} to cover the range of n-alkanes found in aquatic and terrestrial plants (e.g., Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967; Ficken et al., 2000; Diefendorf et al., 2011). ACL values range between 22.4 and 31.8 (Table 2; Fig. 5). A similar trend is observed for the evolution of the ACL values and that of the amount of n-alkanes, i.e. the ACL and n-alkane amounts show maximal values in LB1 to decrease toward LB4 and LB5. Another minimal value is observed for LB6, before it increases again to the top of the section.
Pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph) were detected in all samples in small amounts at level of ~9 and ~8 µg/g TOC on average, respectively (not shown). Pr/Ph ratios show large variations, ranging from 0.3 in clayey samples with poor organic matter content up to 7.6 in a lignite. The highest values are observed in lignites or clayey OM-rich samples (Table 2).

3.5. Compound-specific hydrogen isotopes (δ²H)

δ²H values were determined for C₁₇ to C₃₅ n-alkanes and for the isoprenoid pristane and phytane (Table 3; Fig. 6). Results for n-alkanes as well as pristane and phytane are reported along the section in Fig. 7.

3.5.1. Alkanes

δ²H values range between −248 and −151 ‰. Maximal variability is observed for n-C₂₇ with 90 ‰ between minimum (−242 ‰) and maximum (−152 ‰) values. The variability of δ²H values is also high for n-C₁₉ to n-C₂₅ (Fig. 6). In addition, the longer the chain, the more ²H-enriched are the n-alkanes, except for the n-C₃₅. The latter is ²H-depleted when compared to common vascular plant n-alkanes (C₂₇ to C₃₁, Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967), but is still ²H-enriched when compared to <C₂₇ n-alkanes.

Along the section, δ²H values exhibit generally parallel trends (Fig. 7). In detail, three main features are recorded. First, the offset between δ²H values of two groups of n-alkanes increases from the base of LB1 to the base of LB5: the most negative values are recorded for n-alkanes <C₂₅, whereas longer chain n-alkanes show more positive values. Second, δ²H values of all the n-alkanes increase drastically in the intercalated organic-rich clayey layer in LB5 (TP3-157) and then remain at almost constant high values (−174 ±11 ‰) until LB6. At this point, δ²H decreases to lower values (−191 ±17 ‰) before increasing again in the overlaying clayey layer (−169 ±10 ‰). This general increase in δ²H values occurs for all the...
n-alkanes. Third, lower δ²H values were measured in lignites, alternating with higher values measured in clayey layers and trunk/root samples (Fig. 6). However, this feature is less obvious for n-alkanes >C₂₇.

3.5.2. Isoprenoids

The δ²H values of pristane and phytane range between −303 and −260 ‰ (−283 ‰ on average) and between −311 and −233 ‰ (−274 ‰ on average), respectively. Pristane and phytane are systematically δ²H-depleted when compared to n-alkanes with Δ²H = −80 ‰ on average, where Δ²H is the difference between the average δ²H value of the isoprenoids and the average δ²H value of the n-alkanes (Fig. 6).

3.6. brGDGT

The brGDGTs required for MAAT calculation were detected and could be quantified in only 7 samples (see supplementary data for details). They represent the oldest GDGTs ever recorded to date (Schouten et al., 2013). The use of this proxy is therefore attempted for the first time in the Early Jurassic and must be taken with caution. According to the calibration of Weijers et al. (2007), MAATs range between -1.1 °C and 18.0 °C, while they range between 4.0 °C and 15.9 °C based on the Peterse et al. (2012) calibration (Table 2). Although they show the same trends, negative MAATs values during the Early Jurassic are very unlikely. Thus, the first set of MAATs values will not be further considered, and only trends will be considered for the second one. Here, an increasing trend was observed during the early Toarcian (cf. Fig. 9).
4. Discussion

4.1. Preservation of organic matter

The organic matter is mainly immature ($T_{\text{max}} < 440$ °C) and experienced a limited burial, allowing paleoenvironmental interpretations (Espitalié et al., 1985, see supplementary data 1). Higher HI values in lignites as compared to clayey layers suggest a relatively better organic matter preservation in lignites, although a matrix mineral effect in clayey layers leading to lower HI values cannot be excluded (Espitalié et al., 1985). However, higher OI values in clayey layers relative to lignites are in agreement with a slightly less preserved organic matter in clayey layers, probably resulting from oxidative conditions at the water-sediment interface or during transport processes for silty-sediments (Espitalié et al., 1985). In addition, no significant correlation was recorded between $\delta^{15}$N values and either TOC or TN values ($n=52$; $p>0.05$), suggesting a relative independence between the isotopic composition and the organic content.

The $\delta^2$H values of individual lipid biomarkers are much less prone to diagenetic alteration when compared to bulk organic matter, because (i) specific compounds are not influenced by preferential degradation of less stable compounds (Andersen et al., 2001) and (ii) hydrogen in lipids is strongly bound to carbon and considered non exchangeable, which allows the conservation of the primary $\delta^2$H values of lipids at temperatures above 150 °C (Schimmelmann et al., 1999). To this respect, Dawson et al. (2004) reported $n$-alkanes and isoprenoids that retained their original $\delta^2$H values in relatively immature torbanites as old as the Late Carboniferous.

It has been suggested that the transfer of hydrogen from water to organic matter is the most important mechanism leading to hydrogen-isotope exchange (Schimmelmann et al., 2006), whereas hydrogen is thought to be totally exchanged on very long geological timescale (hundreds of million years, Koepp, 1978; Sessions, 2016). This mechanism leads to
the homogenization of $\delta^2$H values of specific compounds, thus overprinting their primary $\delta^2$H values (Yang and Huang, 2003; Sessions et al., 2004; Pedentchouk et al., 2006). In Taskomirsay, such a homogenization of $\delta^2$H values was not observed as indicated by both the large variability in $\delta^2$H values of homologous $n$-alkanes (up to 90 ‰) and between adjacent sedimentary layers (i.e. samples TP2-140 vs. TP3-151; Fig. 6 and 7). The high CPI (>1) also argues against post-depositional alteration of the $\delta^2$H values (Pedentchouk et al., 2006). Most importantly, an offset ($\Delta \delta^2$H = 80 ‰ on average, Fig. 6) between the $\delta^2$H values of $n$-alkanes and isoprenoids was recorded. In Taskomirsay, this offset is similar to the offset recorded in modern biological samples (Sessions et al., 1999; Chikaraishi et al., 2004) and rules out any extensive homogenization of $\delta^2$H values (Andersen et al., 2001; Dawson et al., 2004; Sessions et al., 2004).

On the basis of these lines of evidence, we can conclude that the organic proxies mainly reflect primary environmental signals, thus allowing paleoenvironmental interpretations.

4.2. Sources of organic matter

The sporomorph associations as well as fossil woods argue for a well-developed terrestrial macro-paleoflora. The presence of *Xenoxyylon* from LB2 to the transition zone, inferred from fossil woods, is also confirmed by infra-millimeter wood fragments, belonging to *Xenoxyylon*, observed in palynological slides (Schnyder et al., accepted). After the transition zone, lacustrine areas are suggested by sedimentology and the presence of *Botryococcus* sp. and *Ovoidites* from ~45 m (Schnyder et al., accepted). However, the Type-III organic matter points to a major contribution of vascular plants to the organic matter through the entire section (Fig. 3; Espitalié et al., 1985), confirmed by (i) woods *in situ*, (ii) wood fragments
associated with sporomorphs (Schnyder et al., accepted) and (iii) the combination of atomic
C/N ratios and δ^{13}C_{org} values (Tyson, 1995; Meyers, 1997; Fig. 8).

The terrestrial origin of the organic matter is confirmed at the molecular level by the
distribution of n-alkanes dominated by >C_{21} n-alkanes associated with CPI >1 (Eglinton and
Hamilton, 1967; Ficken et al., 2000; Diefendorf et al., 2011), whereas a minor algal/bacterial
contribution is deduced from the relatively low amount of shorter chain n-alkanes, except in
clayey and OM poor samples where n-C_{18} usually dominates (Fig. 5; Blumer et al., 1971;
Cranwell et al., 1987; Giger et al., 1980; Meyers, 1997; Ladygina et al., 2006). Despite
various possible sources of pristane and phytane, Pr/Ph ratios <1 observed in those samples
are consistent with the development of bacteria in anoxic/suboxic hypersaline environments,
whereas Pr/Ph ratios >>1 in OM-rich sediments agree with a terrestrial organic matter
deposited in oxic environments (Table 2; Didyk et al., 1978; Peters et al., 2005; Riboulleau et
al., 2007).

Long-chain n-alkanes (C_{27}, C_{29}, C_{31}) are usually attributed to land-plant waxes (Eglinton
et al., 1962; Eglinton and Hamilton, 1967). In Taskomirsay, they are likely produced by
gymnosperms since the major diversification of angiosperms occurred much later, during the
Early Cretaceous (Gee, 2000), about 50 My after the study period. However, long-chain n-
alanes (C_{27}-C_{31}) with strong odd/even carbon predominance could also result from an algal
input (e.g., Botryococcus spp., Lichtfouse et al., 1994; Riboulleau et al., 2007). Thus, an algal
influence on the long-chain n-alkanes in TP3-224 cannot be ruled out, in agreement with the
lowest C/N ratio recorded (C/N = 38) in the section.

n-Alkanes C_{21} to C_{25} are generally predominant in lignites and trunk/root samples from
LB3 to the base of LB6 (Fig. 5). They have been reported to originate from modern
Sphagnum (Baas et al., 2000; Nott et al., 2000) and aquatic macrophytes (Ficken et al., 2000;
Bechtel et al., 2007), thus representing an aquatic environment. But, sphagnums are unlikely
important sources for those \( n \)-alkanes, because they radiated during the Miocene and only rare fossils and spores related to proto-sphagnums have been reported in the Mesozoic (Shaw et al., 2010). Alternatively, mosses might be a valuable source of these \( n \)-alkanes since they have been recognized at least from the lower Carboniferous (Thomas, 1972). However, no sporomorph of mosses or sphagnums could be recognized (Schnyder et al., accepted).

The major contribution of the \( C_{23} \) in most of the samples (Fig. 5) is more likely attributed to conifers as this distribution is close to the general trend observed in the latter, with maxima at \( C_{23} \) and \( C_{25} \) (Oros et al., 1999; Nakamura et al., 2010). This is supported by the unimodal distribution maximizing at \( C_{23} \) observed in the two trunk/root samples TP1-65 and TP1-114. Further evidence come from the high concentration of fossil trunks/roots in life positions through the section in agreement with peaty/swampy forests – inferred from the numerous coal-rich formations in the North Hemisphere – characterizing the Early Jurassic (Miao et al., 1989; Rees et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2005). In addition, \( C_{20}-C_{23} \) alkanes have been reported in Carboniferous coalified paleoflora (Disnar and Harouna, 1994), in Carboniferous-Permian terrigenous/coaly sediments (Scheffler et al., 2003), and in the Late Oligocene *Taxodium dubium* (*Cupressaceae s.l.*) fossil plant maximizing at \( C_{21} \) (Stefanova et al., 2013). The first authors attributed the Carboniferous coals to Paleozoic Gondwana swamp flora made of conifer precursors, whereas Stefanova et al. (2013) emphasized that *Taxodium dubium* was known in marshy environments and flooded lowland terrains. Finally, the distribution of \( n \)-alkanes in *Xenoxylon* fossil woods collected in the Bajocian/Callovian of Poland and Germany – paleoecologically related to environments with no water restriction, usually riparian forests and swamps (Oh et al., 2015) – also maximizes at \( C_{23} \) (Marynowski et al., 2008), although these authors attributed the presence of \( n \)-alkanes to contaminations by host clays. This is not the case here when compared the root/trunk TP1-65 to its host clays (TP1-65bis) as they show large differences in their \( n \)-alkane distribution (Fig. 5). To our opinion,
all these lines of evidence support the hypothesis that $n$-$C_{21}$–$n$-$C_{23}$ $n$-alkanes in our samples could result from conifers like *Xenoxylon* that thrived in flooded environments.

In summary, those evidences support the use of $n$-$C_{21}$–$n$-$C_{23}$ as representing an environment under an almost permanent shallow water layer, and the use of $n$-$C_{27}$–$n$-$C_{31}$ as strictly terrestrial vascular plant biomarkers (eg. Ficken et al., 2000; Sachse et al., 2004; Mügler et al., 2008). Accordingly, $C_{21}$–$C_{23}$ $n$-alkanes will be considered as deriving from an “aquatic pool” and $C_{27}$–$C_{31}$ $n$-alkanes from a “terrestrial pool”, respectively, for this study. As $\delta^2$H values of $C_{23}$ and $C_{27}$ $n$-alkanes were accurately measured for all samples, they will be considered as representative of the aquatic and terrestrial pool, respectively.

The existence of these two different pools could explain the offset between the $\delta^2$H values of the $^2$H-depleted aquatic pool and the $^2$H-enriched terrestrial pool (Fig. 6 and 7). $^2$H-enriched $C_{27}$–$C_{31}$ $n$-alkanes suggest hydrogen loss by evaporation and/or vascular plant transpiration (Sachse et al., 2004, 2006), whereas the $^2$H-depleted $C_{21}$–$C_{23}$ $n$-alkanes suggest that they originate from organisms that do not evaportranspire (e.g., aquatic macrophytes; Ficken et al., 2000; Nott et al., 2000; Bechtel et al., 2007) or from organisms living in high relative humidity sub-environments (e.g., ombrotrophic peatlands; Nichols et al., 2009).

The origin of the $C_{33}$ and $C_{35}$ $n$-alkanes that are dominant at the base and at the top of the section (Fig. 5) is uncertain as such a predominance has never been reported in sediments to date. However, these homologues were found to be major $n$-alkanes in some modern *Cupressaceae* (Dodd et al., 1998; Diefendorf et al., 2011; Street et al., 2013), suggesting a terrestrial higher plant origin for these compounds. The relatively high $\delta^2$H signal of those compounds is in agreement with a vascular plant origin that would be subject to evapotranspiration.
4.3. Paleoclimate evolution

The Taskomirsay section is separated into three parts for discussion purposes. The first part includes the base of the section to the base of the transition zone at ~26 m and is named “Phase 1”. It corresponds to the mid- to late Pliensbachian (Fig. 9; Schnyder et al., accepted). The second part is the transition zone, corresponding to the transition between Pliensbachian and Toarcian, based on sporomorph associations and $\delta^{13}$C$_{org}$ (Fig. 9; Schnyder et al., accepted). The $\delta^{13}$C$_{org}$ curve is described in Schnyder et al., accepted. The transition zone ends at ~35 m. The rest of the section, corresponding to the early Toarcian is named “Phase 2” (Fig. 9).

4.3.1. Paleoflora

The morphogenus conifer *Xenoxylon* Gothan is biogeographically related to cool/humid settings and thus, usually to high latitudes (Philippe and Thévenard, 1996; Philippe and Tchoumatchenco, 2008; Philippe et al., 2009). Recent isotopic studies confirmed these paleoclimatic requirements for *Xenoxylon* (Amiot et al., 2015). In addition, *Xenoxylon* occurred at lower latitudes during the Jurassic and even thrived in Western Europe during cold episodes, such as in the late Pliensbachian or in the early Oxfordian (Philippe and Thévenard, 1996). Therefore, the occurrence of *Xenoxylon* at mid-latitudes in Taskomirsay in Phase 1 and in the transition zone suggests a cool/humid period, followed by less humid and/or warmer conditions in the early Toarcian (Phase 2), deduced from the demise of *Xenoxylon* (Fig. 9).

During the Jurassic, the Euro-Sinian (West-Kazakhstan) and the West-Siberian (East-Kazakhstan) floral provinces were separated by a NW/SE axis through Kazakhstan (Vakhrameev, 1991) that may have fluctuated in the Jurassic (Kirichkova and Doludenko, 1996). Sporomorph associations (Schnyder et al., accepted) prior to the transition zone
suggest an influence of the West-Siberian province with a monotonous flora dominated by ferns, few Cycadales, Ginkgoales, Czekanowskiales, Bennettitales and Coniferales, characteristic of a moderate-warm climate (Vakhrameev, 1991), in agreement with the occurrence of *Xenoxylon* in the same part of the section (Fig. 9). The influence of the Euro-Sinian province (warm-temperate) progressively increased through the section with the emergence of *Manumia delcourtii* (Pocock) (Dybkjaer, 1991) and later of *Ischyosporites variegatus* (Couper) (Schulz, 1967) in Phase 2, which is one of the taxon used to identify the early Toarcian (Schnyder et al., accepted). Then, the early Toarcian (Phase 2) is marked by the occurrence of more thermophilic taxa such as *Callialasporites* spp. or *Ischyosporites variegatus*, while a slight decrease in humidity is recorded by rare *Corollina* spp., associated with the demise of *Xenoxylon* (Fig. 9; Schnyder et al., accepted). Accordingly, a similar climatic trend has been evidenced regionally by other palynological studies during the Early Jurassic in Central Asia (Iljina, 1985; Vakhrameev, 1991; Mogutcheva, 2014). Thus, globally humid conditions along the section probably favored high production of biomass that enabled the formation of the numerous lignite beds (Flores, 2002; Kalaitzidis et al., 2004).

Alternatively, changes in depositional environments or taphonomic conditions could have driven the occurrence of the wood *Xenoxylon* and the mentioned sporomorphs. But, there is no evidences to such hypothesis as no drastic changes in depositional environments occurred until the top of the section, where lacustrine conditions took place. Most importantly, the other organic markers do support a climatic interpretation of the data (see below) together with other regional paleobiogeographical studies mentioned above.

### 4.3.2. What do $\delta^{15}N$ values record?

Many studies have concluded that the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{org}}$ values in terrestrial environments are mainly driven by climate via water-availability and nutrient cycling, the $\delta^{15}N$ of plants and
soils being correlated negatively with precipitation and positively with temperatures (Austin and Vitousek, 1998; Handley et al., 1999; Amundson et al., 2003; Swap et al., 2004; Liu and Wang, 2008). In brief, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values in humid environments are driven by intense N-recycling between dead and alive biomass through a rapid turnover of a small N-mineral pool, whereas this cycle is interrupted in arid environments (low biomass activity) leading to N-excess in a large mineral pool (Austin and Vitousek, 1998; Handley et al., 1999; Swap et al., 2004). The latter is then subjected to N-loss by leaching and gas emission leading to high $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in the remaining N-pool (Handley et al., 1999; Aranibar et al., 2004). In turn, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values are controlled by the relative openness of the N-cycle by changes in water- or N-availability as illustrated by Martinelli et al., 1999, who reported significant higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ (+6.5 ‰) values in humid tropical forests (N-excess) than in temperate forests (N-limited).

Considerable variations exist in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values from one site to another, ranging from around −8 to +22 ‰ in actual soil organic matter (Craine et al. 2015).

In Taskomirsay, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values were calculated for samples in which TOC is <20 % and do not strongly differ from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{tot}}$ values, justifying the use of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{tot}}$ values as representative of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values (Fig. 2), except for TP3-224 that might be influenced by an algal contribution and hence will not be considered for paleoclimate reconstructions. In the light of the previous interpretations, the relatively low and stable $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values (~+3 ‰) – when compared to those compiled by Handley et al. (1999) in arid to semi-arid sites (>5 ‰) – are in agreement with a globally closed N-cycle, suggesting humid conditions and/or limited nutrients in the system.

Although $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values remain in a rather narrow range (~2.5 to ~3.5 ‰), the small excursions recorded in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{org}}$ values, either negative (LB1, LB2 and LB6) or positive (LB5), together with the scattered feature in LB4 and LB3 might reflect the tenuous equilibrium between water-availability and nutrient cycling. This pattern would imply that (i) despite
paleoflora indices in Phase 2, no drastic changes occurred along the section whether in the nutrient dynamics or regarding water-availability (Fig. 9), (ii) the vegetation has adapted to environmental changes ensuring an efficient N-recycling and thus almost constant $\delta^{15}\text N_{\text{org}}$ values. The latter hypothesis suggests a strong influence of the local environment on $\delta^{15}\text N_{\text{org}}$ values as suspected by Amundson et al. (2003), who compiled $\delta^{15}\text N$ values of modern plants and soils. The influence of local environments is supported by the high variability of $\delta^{13}\text C_{\text{org}}$ values, especially in LB4 and fossil woods (Fig. 9), which could result from changes of vegetation assemblages or changes of $^{13}\text C$-fractionation rate tuned by temperature, altitude and/or relative humidity (Warren et al., 2001; Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003; Bowling et al., 2008). A better sampling resolution for biomarkers studies would help to decipher the real causes of variability of the $\delta^{13}\text C_{\text{org}}$ values, while a global and long-term influence is suggested by Schnyder et al. (accepted) to highlight the recognition of the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition.

To sum up, no drastic changes in the $\delta^{15}\text N_{\text{org}}$ are recorded as compared to those reported in the Paleocene-Eocene (Storme et al., 2012) or in the Eocene-Oligocene (Tramoy et al., 2016) that exhibit much higher variations in amplitude of up to 6‰.

4.3.3. Molecular response of plants

Leaf waxes are produced by plants as a protection against external environment, especially to prevent water loss. Accordingly, lower production of $n$-alkanes along with shorter chain lengths is believed to reflect a decreasing need for protection against water loss and/or a deficiency of energy for biosynthesizing long chain $n$-alkanes (Weete et al., 1978; Gagosian and Peltzer, 1986; Gauvrit and Gaillardon, 1991; Shepherd and Wynne Griffiths, 2006), indicative of humid conditions. Conversely, higher amounts of $n$-alkanes and higher ACL values suggest water stress for plants, drier conditions and higher temperatures. In this
respect, decreasing ACL values and $n$-alkane amounts from Phase 1 to the transition zone suggest increasing humidity and decreasing temperatures (Fig. 9). Then, increasing ACL values and $n$-alkane amounts point to drier conditions and higher temperatures in the early Toarcian (Phase 2). MAATs, reconstructed based on brGDGTs distributions, depict the same trends with decreasing temperatures in Phase 1 and increasing temperatures in Phase 2, although the number of samples is limited. It is noteworthy that relations between ACL values and $n$-alkane amounts are particularly robust in lignites, whereas no correlation exists for clayey layers (Fig. 10). In order to explain this discrepancy, we hypothesize that the organic matter in swampy forest is dominated by autochthonous vascular plant inputs, responding promptly to relative changes in humidity, whereas organic matter in clayey layers could contain significant part of allochthonous plant products or algal/bacterial organic matter ($n$-C$_{18}$; Fig. 5) that is less influenced by water loss (Hoffmann et al., 2013). Notice that the highest amount of $n$-alkanes was recorded in the clayey layer TP1-65, which is very poor in organic matter (<0.05 %). As the amount of $n$-alkanes is expressed relative to %TOC, the very low %TOC results in a very high concentration of $n$-alkanes in this specific sample.

4.3.4. Interpretation of $n$-alkane $\delta^2$H values

According to Sachse et al. (2012), the $\delta^2$H values of higher plant $n$-alkanes are mainly influenced by (i) the $\delta^2$H values of precipitation (Huang et al., 2004; Sachse et al., 2004, 2012), (ii) the extent of evapotranspiration from soils and leaves (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010) and (iii) to a minor extent, by the interspecies variability (Smith and Freeman, 2006; Chikaraishi and Naraoka, 2007). $\delta^2$H values of precipitations are positively correlated with temperature at the precipitation site and negatively correlated with the amount of precipitation and with the distance from the shore line (Dansgaard, 1964; Epstein and Yapp, 1976; Gat, 1996; Sachse et al., 2004). Also, the amount of precipitation is
the most important factor in low latitudes, whereas the temperature effect predominates in higher latitudes (Sachse et al., 2012). At the global scale, a latitudinal/climatic distribution pattern of $\delta^2$H values has been reported in modern precipitation patterns and also for geological times with $\delta^2$H values being negatively correlated with latitudes (Bowen and Revenaugh, 2003; Dawson et al., 2004).

The terrestrial signal in our samples is expressed by the absolute $\delta^2$H values of the $n$-alkane C$_{27}$ ($-242$ to $-151$ ‰; $-192 \pm 21$ ‰), which are closer to those reported from the Paleocene-Eocene at mid-latitudes in the intracontinental Bighorn Basin ($-195$ to $-185$ ‰; Smith et al., 2007) and at high latitudes in the Arctic ($-210$ to $-160$ ‰, Pagani et al., 2006), than those reported for the same period in coastal regions from mid- to low-latitudes ($-165$ to $-140$ ‰; Handley et al., 2012; $-174$ to $-112$ ‰; Garel et al., 2013). They are also closer to early Permian $\delta^2$H values recorded in high latitudes with glacial to cool-temperate environments (ca. $-200$ ‰), than those recorded for the same period in tropical regions (Fig. 6; ca. $-150$ ‰; Dawson et al., 2004; ca. $-100$ ‰; Izart et al., 2012). These results are in agreement with the estimated mid-paleolatitudes of the Taskomirsay section (36° N ± 8°, Bruno Vrielynck, pers. comm., 2014), associated with a warm to cool-temperate global climate during the Early Jurassic at those paleolatitudes (Rees et al., 2000). It may also point to the intra-continental character of the Karatau Basin during the Pliensbachian-Toarcian.

Considering these paleolatitudinal/paleoclimatic settings, the location of the Karatau Basin during the Jurassic (~40° N) and the large excursions of the $\delta^2$H values, air temperatures and precipitation amount were probably both important factors driving precipitation $\delta^2$H values. Then, $\delta^2$H values of $n$-alkanes were more or less affected by evapotranspiration with respect to their environment whether aquatic or terrestrial (Sachse et al., 2006; Smith and Freeman, 2006; Feakins and Sessions, 2010). To constrain the
evapotranspiration effect of terrestrial plants, the aquatic $n$-C$_{23}$ will be considered for paleohydrological reconstructions.

$\delta^2$H values of $n$-alkanes are usually well correlated with that of the source water used by organisms and thus constitute good markers for paleohydrological reconstructions (Sachse et al., 2004; Mügler et al., 2008; Aichner et al., 2010; Nichols et al., 2010; Sachse et al., 2012). Because the $n$-C$_{23}$ is associated with the aquatic pool in the study section, its low $\delta^2$H values ($-248$ to $-168$ ‰; $-201 \pm 25$ ‰, similar to $n$-C$_{21}$ $\delta^2$H values) argue for very humid conditions either in a cool-temperate or glacial climate regime (Fig. 6). However, no sedimentological evidence has been found for a glacial environment, and this kind of environment is highly inconsistent with plant assemblages in Taskomirsay (Schnyder et al., accepted). Thus, cool-temperate conditions are most likely, whereas the very negative $\delta^2$H values could reflect a long distance from the source water (Gat, 1996). Although the exact distance from the shore line is currently unknown (either to the South or West), it is most probably on the order of tens to hundreds of kilometers (B. Vrielynck, pers. Comm., 2014).

Along the section, the decrease in $n$-C$_{23}$ $\delta^2$H values from the base of the section to the transition zone could result from a decrease in source-water $\delta^2$H values, indicating an increase in humidity and decrease in temperature, the coolest/most humid interval being recorded from LB4 to the base of LB5 within the transition zone ($-236 \pm 11$ ‰; Fig. 9). Then, a strong increase in $n$-C$_{23}$ $\delta^2$H values ($-188 \pm 18$ ‰) suggests relatively drier conditions in the early Toarcian, associated with higher temperatures. ACL values are in agreement with those two general trends. Nevertheless, slightly lower $n$-C$_{23}$ $\delta^2$H values in LB6 ($-209 \pm 6$ ‰) suggest a more humid period that favored swampy environments. But, those $\delta^2$H values are $\sim$27 ‰ higher than in LB4/LB5, probably resulting from the deposition of LB6 in warmer conditions instead of drier conditions. This interpretation is supported by
concomitant thermophilic paleoflora and increasing temperatures recorded by GDGTs in the early Toarcian (Fig. 9).

At high frequency, higher $\delta^2$H values intercalated in clayey layers may represent local changes in sub-environments between lignites and clayey layers with more humid conditions for lignites than for clays (Fig. 7 and 9). Whereas paleoclimatic variations may have overprinted local changes at a broader scale when taking into account differences in $\delta^2$H values between the different sedimentary cycles.

4.3.5. Paleoclimate reconstructions based on $\Delta^2H_{\text{ter-aq}}$

The influence of relative humidity and temperature on $\delta^2$H values is also recorded by the evapotranspiration of the ecosystem (Sachse et al., 2006; Mügler et al., 2008). The ecosystem evapotranspiration can be evaluated by the hydrogen isotopic difference between terrestrial $n$-alkanes and aquatic $n$-alkanes, based on two assumptions: (i) in a given ecosystem, water source is the same for terrestrial and aquatic organisms and (ii) aquatic and terrestrial organisms synthesize their $n$-alkanes with the same biosynthetic fractionation ($\varepsilon = -157$ ‰; Sachse et al., 2006). However, terrestrial flora synthesize their $n$-alkanes from $^2$H-enriched leaf-water after soil evaporation and leaf-transpiration, leading to $^2$H-enrichment of long-chain $n$-alkanes (eg. Sachse et al., 2006). Indeed, $n$-alkanes from surface sediments of European lakes showed an isotopic difference as large as $+30$ ‰ between the terrestrial and the aquatic pool (Sachse et al., 2004, 2006).

In the Taskomirsay samples, evapotranspiration was estimated from the isotopic difference between $\delta^2$H values of the $C_{27}$ and the $C_{23}$ $n$-alkanes and was noted as $\Delta^2H_{\text{ter-aq}}$ (Fig. 9). Notice that the aquatic pool defined for this study differs from that of the other studies by the origin of the aquatic $n$-alkanes, which are attributed here to swampy or riparian
vegetation with no water restriction (e.g., *Xenoxylon* vegetation type; Oh et al., 2015). Contributions from mosses and aquatic plants are also possible. The $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ was always positive in lignites, up to +76 ‰ in LB4, whereas it remained close to 0 ‰ or slightly negative in clayey layers. According to Mügler et al. (2008), who calculated $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ values in a Tibetan lake, positive $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ values are typical for humid environments. They result from evapotranspiration of terrestrial vegetation leading to $^2$H-enrichment in the terrestrial pool, whereas $^2$H-enrichment of the aquatic pool is limited by low evaporation rates. $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ values in the section are thus in agreement with humid conditions. In contrast, under arid to semi-arid conditions, significant $^2$H-enrichment occurs in the aquatic pool relatively to the terrestrial pool, resulting from long term lake water evaporation, which counterbalances plant evapotranspiration: a negative $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ is thus recorded (~−60 ‰; Mügler et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2014). Because the most negative $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ recorded did not exceed −6 ‰, arid to semi-arid conditions during deposition of clayey layers are unlikely and generally humid conditions are suggested, in agreement with paleoflora, $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{org}}$ and absolute $\delta^3$H values.

In addition, maximal $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ positive values (+41 and +76 ‰ in LB4), suggest a considerable evapotranspiration rate (Fig. 9). This interpretation is in apparent contradiction with the coolest/most humid interval deduced from low $\delta^2$H values of $n$-alkanes from the aquatic pool and low $\delta^{15}$N$_{\text{org}}$ values. A possible explanation for this discrepancy is that higher input of allochthonous terrestrial $n$-alkanes from distant areas with a heavier isotopic composition of meteoric water might have led to higher $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ (~70 ‰; Sachse et al., 2004). Alternatively, high $\Delta^{2}$H$_{\text{ter-aq}}$ could be explained by seasonal differences in water uptake and $n$-alkane production between the aquatic and terrestrial pools (Jacob et al. 2007), which is typical under temperate climate-regime. Indeed, higher plant $n$-alkanes are mostly produced during the growing season in spring and summer (Sachse et al., 2004, 2009), i.e. under relatively drier/warmer conditions, which could account for the production of $^2$H-
enriched long-chain \textit{n}-alkanes. Conversely, the aquatic pool of \textit{n}-alkanes might have been produced under more humid/cool conditions during a wet season, which could explain their low $\delta^2$H values (Fig. 9).

The hypothesis of alternating wet/dry season responsible for high $\Delta^2$H_{ter-aq} could also explain the scatter in $\delta^{15}$N_{org} values in LB4 by the dual influences of wet and dry seasons (Swap et al., 2004): (i) low N-recycling rate during dry season, leading to N mineralization and $^{15}$N-enrichment, and (ii) intense N-recycling by microbial biomass during the growing season that re-processes the accumulated N, leading to $^{15}$N-depletion. In other words, if the dry season effects of $^{15}$N-enrichment exceed the wet season effects of $^{15}$N-depletion, $\delta^{15}$N values of the remaining organic matter would increase and \textit{vice versa}. Following the interpretation of $\Delta^2$H_{ter-aq} values as a seasonal indicator, $\Delta^2$H_{ter-aq} values close to 0 ‰ in Phase 2 might suggest low seasonality (Fig. 9). Precisely, recent spectral analysis of $\delta^{13}$C values measured in carbonates, used in cyclostratigraphy, revealed that astronomical configuration of the Earth would have enhanced seasonality at the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition and favored low seasonality in the early Toarcian (Martinez and Dera, 2015).

5. Reconciling proxies

Proxies used in this study yield contrasting responses to the inferred climate changes. An absence of drastic changes were recorded by $\delta^{15}$N_{org} values and paleoflora revealed globally humid conditions apart from slightly less humid and warmer conditions in the early Toarcian. This warming was confirmed by MAATs reconstructed from brGDGTs. In contrast, molecular proxies (\textit{n}-alkane amount, ACL, $\delta^2$H values, $\Delta^2$H_{ter-aq} values and brGDGTs) were highly variable along the section, especially $\delta^2$H values. These proxies argue for maximal humidity and minimal temperatures in the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition.
This period could thus correspond to the cool late Pliensbachian (Bailey et al., 2003; Morard et al., 2003; Suan et al., 2010). Molecular proxies also supported drier/warmer conditions at the base of the section (middle Pliensbachian?) and in the early Toarcian as well as humid/dry cycles between lignites (humid) and clayey layers (drier).

The absence of a correlation between $\delta^{15}N_{\text{org}}$ and $\delta^2H$ values, which are both influenced by water availability, might point to different spatial integration of those proxies, $\delta^{15}N_{\text{org}}$ and $\delta^2H$ reflecting local (Szpak, 2014) and regional (precipitation regimes, air-mass temperatures; Sachse et al., 2012) influences, respectively. Sea level variations may influence $\delta^2H$ values of precipitation by modifying the distance between shore lines and the study area (Gat, 1996). Consequently, low sea level may increase the distance from the shore line leading to lower $\delta^2H$ values of the precipitation and vice versa, and concomitant temperature/humidity variations could lead to over- or underestimation of paleohydrological changes (e.g., Garel et al., 2013). It is therefore likely that the low sea level and the low temperatures (higher $^2H$-fractionation) recorded in the late Pliensbachian led to an overestimation of humidity and reversely for the early Toarcian, which is marked by global warming and sea-level rising (Hallam, 1967; Hesselbo and Jenkyns, 1998; Bailey et al., 2003; Rosales et al., 2004; Suan et al., 2010). The recognition of *Botryococcus* in the uppermost part of the section and the alternation between silts and clay suggest a lacustrine/deltaic environment (Schnyder et al., accepted). This kind of environment would have been favored by the early Toarcian global transgression (Hallam, 1967; Hesselbo and Jenkyns, 1998) that increased the regional water level base and consequently buried the older sediments. Thus, variations of sea level may reconcile the drastic paleohydrological changes recorded by the $\delta^2H$ values and the rather stable climatic conditions implied by the $\delta^{15}N_{\text{org}}$ values. This hypothesis is strongly supported by Korte et al. (2015) who demonstrated the influence of the ocean dynamic on the global climate in the Early and Middle Jurassic.
6. Conclusions

The Taskomirsay section is a unique continental record that encompasses the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition and contains well-preserved Type-III organic matter. Sources of organic matter were separated into two pools: (i) a purely terrestrial and (ii) an “aquatic” pool constituted of vegetation that thrived under almost permanent water supply, with possible contributions from mosses and aquatic plants.

Our multi-proxy analysis argues for globally humid condition through the section, probably under a warm- to cool-temperate regime, as constrained by *Xenoxyilon* wood. However, paleoflora and $\delta^2$H values suggest slightly less humid and warmer conditions starting from the early Toarcian, as also usually recorded in the marine realm. This interval was preceded by the probably coolest/most humid period that may correspond to the late Pliensbachian. In addition, enhanced seasonality has been hypothesized, starting from the mid-late Pliensbachian and maximizing at the Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition, in agreement with a temperate climate regime. The combination of sedimentological and organic analyses provide the most probable picture of landscapes in Taskomirsay: fluvial systems cutting through swampy forests from the base of the section (mid- to late Pliensbachian) to the transition zone (Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition) followed by relatively open lacustrine conditions in the upper part (early Toarcian).

Finally, this study sheds new light on the use of compound-specific $\delta^2$H values in ancient sediments and stresses the complexity of the $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ as a paleoclimatic proxy. Those proxies still need to be combined with other parameters like clay mineralogy, palynofacies or other biomarker molecules (e.g., terpenoids). Paleoclimate changes should also be constrained at the regional scale with other continental sections in Central Asia to link paleoclimate changes
recorded in the marine realm to those in continental settings, even though inter-linkages could be challenging.
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Figure 5-revised
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Figure 10

\[ r^2 = 0.85 \]
\[ p < 0.001 \]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Position (m)</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>(^\text{a}\text{TOC}) (%)</th>
<th>(^\text{a}\text{TN}) (%)</th>
<th>(^\text{C/N})</th>
<th>(^\text{dN_{lum}}) (%)</th>
<th>(^\text{\delta^{15}N}) (%)</th>
<th>(^\text{\delta^{15}N_{org}}) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP3-234</td>
<td>52.02</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-231</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-226</td>
<td>48.43</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-224</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.06 *38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-216</td>
<td>43.41</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>1.00 70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-212</td>
<td>43.21</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>0.91 61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-205</td>
<td>42.15</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>1.00 83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-203</td>
<td>41.98</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.80 95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-201</td>
<td>41.57</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>0.94 58</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-195</td>
<td>41.12</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>0.98 57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-191</td>
<td>40.45</td>
<td>OM-rich Clay</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.16 *93</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-190</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-185bis</td>
<td>39.38</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>0.91 56</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-179</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>0.71 112</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-176</td>
<td>36.85</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.08 *53</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-169</td>
<td>35.34</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.05 *72</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-165</td>
<td>34.80</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>0.96 64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-162</td>
<td>34.20</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>0.96 67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-159</td>
<td>33.68</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>1.14 76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-157</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>OM-rich Clay</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.16 *57</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-153</td>
<td>32.84</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>0.90 70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-151</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>0.73 109</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-141</td>
<td>28.03</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.13 *72</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-140</td>
<td>27.94</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>0.67 121</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-139</td>
<td>27.86</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0.74 96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-135</td>
<td>27.57</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>0.91 88</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-133</td>
<td>27.42</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.06 *61</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-132</td>
<td>27.31</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>0.99 77</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-131</td>
<td>27.13</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>0.99 83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-130</td>
<td>26.93</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>0.62 132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-128</td>
<td>26.60</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>1.01 79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-127</td>
<td>26.36</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>0.99 78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-117</td>
<td>23.84</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td>0.71 92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-114</td>
<td>23.39</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>0.82 96</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-107</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-99</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.79 97</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-89</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>0.77 107</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-87</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>0.83 57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-84</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>60.2</td>
<td>1.17 60</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-76</td>
<td>15.04</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.16 *58</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-71</td>
<td>14.65</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>1.22 64</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-65</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>0.89 93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-65</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-51</td>
<td>10.08</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>0.75 61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-50</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.11 *55</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-46</td>
<td>9.04</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>0.96 85</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-43</td>
<td>8.63</td>
<td>OM-rich Clay</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.34 *60</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>Lignite</th>
<th>OM-rich Clay</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP1-38</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-38base</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-35</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-33</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-29</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>*60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-22</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>*60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-15</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-11</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>*61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-8</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>Position (m)</th>
<th>TOC (%)</th>
<th>aLipid content (%)</th>
<th>n-alkane range (max; sub-max)</th>
<th>n-alkane content (μg/gTOC)</th>
<th>CPI (C11-C35)</th>
<th>ACL (C21-C35)</th>
<th>Pr/Ph ratio</th>
<th>bMAAT (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP3-234</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>52.02</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{16}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{21}; <em>C</em></em>{25}; <em>C</em>_{35})</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-231</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>49.85</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{22} (<em>C</em>_{21})</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-226</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>48.43</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{35} (<em>C</em><em>{14}; <em>C</em></em>{27})</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-224</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{27}; <em>C</em></em>{35}; <em>C</em>_{39})</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-216</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>43.41</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{13}-C</em>{27} (<em>C</em><em>{35}; <em>C</em></em>{25}; <em>C</em>_{29})</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-203</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>41.98</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{28} (<em>C</em>_{21})</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-195</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>41.12</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{13}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{21}; <em>C</em></em>{33})</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-190</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{13}-C</em>{36} (<em>C</em><em>{14}; <em>C</em></em>{25})</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-176</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>36.85</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{17}-C</em>{35} (<em>C</em>_{27})</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-169</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>35.34</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{15}; <em>C</em></em>{27})</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-157</td>
<td>OM-rich Clay</td>
<td>33.32</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{36} (<em>C</em><em>{20}; <em>C</em></em>{27})</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-151</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{35} (<em>C</em>_{21})</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-140</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>27.94</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{15}-C</em>{31} (<em>C</em>_{21})</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-127</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>26.36</td>
<td>65.6</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{38} (<em>C</em>_{23})</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-114</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>23.39</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{35} (<em>C</em><em>{23}; <em>C</em></em>{18})</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-107</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>21.24</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{18}; <em>C</em></em>{27})</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-99</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>18.72</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{13}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{21}; <em>C</em></em>{35}; <em>C</em>_{29})</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-87</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>16.54</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{13}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{23}; <em>C</em></em>{27}; <em>C</em>_{35})</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-65</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{13}-C</em>{28} (<em>C</em>_{23})</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-65bis</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>13.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{27}; <em>C</em></em>{18})</td>
<td>3313</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-50</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{23}; <em>C</em></em>{25}; <em>C</em>_{29})</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-38</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{11}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{25}; <em>C</em></em>{29}; <em>C</em>_{19})</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-22</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em>_{23})</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-15</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>62.9</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{35} (<em>C</em><em>{29}; <em>C</em></em>{23})</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-5</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td><em>C</em><em>{14}-C</em>{37} (<em>C</em><em>{35}; <em>C</em></em>{29}; <em>C</em>_{23})</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Average** 2.5 26.7 3.1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Lithology</th>
<th>C₁₇ SD (1σ)</th>
<th>C₁₈ SD (1σ)</th>
<th>C₁₉ SD (1σ)</th>
<th>C₂₁ SD (1σ)</th>
<th>C₂₃ SD (1σ)</th>
<th>C₂₅ SD (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TP3-224</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-183  6</td>
<td>-175  2</td>
<td>-153  3</td>
<td>-163  2</td>
<td>-176  2</td>
<td>-175  2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-216</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-169  -</td>
<td>-177  30</td>
<td>-206  10</td>
<td>-191  2</td>
<td>-205  8</td>
<td>-213  7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-195</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-186  2</td>
<td>-193  1</td>
<td>-212  3</td>
<td>-204  3</td>
<td>-213  2</td>
<td>-215  2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-190</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-        -</td>
<td>-177  -</td>
<td>-161  -</td>
<td>-166  12</td>
<td>-168  18</td>
<td>-172  19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-176</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-        -</td>
<td>-        -</td>
<td>-        -</td>
<td>-171  10</td>
<td>-181  10</td>
<td>-178  9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-157</td>
<td>OM-rich clay</td>
<td>-158  5</td>
<td>-172  3</td>
<td>-191  3</td>
<td>-185  4</td>
<td>-188  4</td>
<td>-190  2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-151</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-190  13</td>
<td>-192  7</td>
<td>-231  3</td>
<td>-237  7</td>
<td>-248  1</td>
<td>-244  10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-140</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-        -</td>
<td>-218  1</td>
<td>-230  1</td>
<td>-234  2</td>
<td>-228  &lt;1</td>
<td>-195  4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-127</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-204  4</td>
<td>-220  3</td>
<td>-234  2</td>
<td>-230  4</td>
<td>-233  1</td>
<td>-226  5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-114</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>-166  3</td>
<td>-191  2</td>
<td>-191  2</td>
<td>-184  2</td>
<td>-189  3</td>
<td>-188  1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-99</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>-206  2</td>
<td>-216  3</td>
<td>-224  1</td>
<td>-220  2</td>
<td>-215  2</td>
<td>-213  1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-87</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-207  2</td>
<td>-222  2</td>
<td>-237  1</td>
<td>-246  3</td>
<td>-245  &lt;1</td>
<td>-240  1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-65</td>
<td>Coalified root/trunk</td>
<td>-196  2</td>
<td>-180  5</td>
<td>-210  4</td>
<td>-225  2</td>
<td>-232  1</td>
<td>-232  &lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-50</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-        -</td>
<td>-172  20</td>
<td>-195  20</td>
<td>-196  6</td>
<td>-192  9</td>
<td>-188  7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-38</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-183  3</td>
<td>-206  6</td>
<td>-217  4</td>
<td>-205  11</td>
<td>-204  7</td>
<td>-202  5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-22</td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>-157  6</td>
<td>-162  15</td>
<td>-183  6</td>
<td>-181  10</td>
<td>-192  5</td>
<td>-208  2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-15</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-175  13</td>
<td>-193  12</td>
<td>-213  8</td>
<td>-194  8</td>
<td>-222  3</td>
<td>-207  9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-5</td>
<td>Lignite</td>
<td>-166  18</td>
<td>-172  15</td>
<td>-201  5</td>
<td>-194  16</td>
<td>-199  5</td>
<td>-193  3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>-182  6</td>
<td>-190  8</td>
<td>-205  5</td>
<td>-201  6</td>
<td>-207  5</td>
<td>-204  5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>C27</td>
<td>SD (1σ)</td>
<td>C29</td>
<td>SD (1σ)</td>
<td>C31</td>
<td>SD (1σ)</td>
<td>C33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-224</td>
<td>−169</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−175</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−158</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-216</td>
<td>−198</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−191</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−176</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-195</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−190</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−177</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-190</td>
<td>−173</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−155</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-176</td>
<td>−182</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−181</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−165</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-157</td>
<td>−187</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−187</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−172</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP3-151</td>
<td>−242</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−211</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-140</td>
<td>−152</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>−160</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−151</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP2-127</td>
<td>−192</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−190</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−186</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>−160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-114</td>
<td>−167</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-99</td>
<td>−197</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−193</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−170</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-87</td>
<td>−218</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−203</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−185</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-65</td>
<td>−210</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-50</td>
<td>−183</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−191</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−177</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-38</td>
<td>−193</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−188</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−178</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-22</td>
<td>−196</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>−194</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−155</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-15</td>
<td>−199</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−203</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−181</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>−169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP1-5</td>
<td>−187</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−192</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−187</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td>−192</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>−189</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>−172</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Geological and geographical situation of the Taskomirsay section (modified from Schnyder et al. accepted).

Fig. 2. Total organic carbon (TOC), Total Nitrogen (TN), $\delta^{15}$N$_{tot}$ and $\delta^{15}$N$_{org}$ values along the Taskomirsay section with respect to lithology. Lignites are in black, clayey layers in gray, silts-sandstones in orange triangles and coalified wood trunks or roots in brown. Transition zone, Pliensbachian-Toarcian transition.

Fig. 3. Taskomirsay samples plotted in a HI (Hydrogen Index)/OI (Oxygen Index) diagram. Arrows indicate pathways of OM alteration.

Fig. 4. TOC values of clayey layers plotted against corresponding N$_{tot}$ and N$_{org}$ values.

Fig. 5. ACL values, $n$-alkane amount and $n$-alkane distribution in lipid extracts of the Taskomirsay section. Blue bars refer to the “aquatic pool” (C$_{23}$) and green bars to the “terrestrial pool” (C$_{27}$) (see text, section 4.2).

Fig. 6. Variability of $\delta^2$H values of $n$-alkanes and isoprenoid pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph). Also represented are compiled typical values under tropical, cool temperate and glacial regime from Dawson et al. (2004) and Izart et al. (2012).

Fig. 7. $\delta^2$H values of $n$-alkanes and isoprenoid pristane and phytane along the Taskomirsay section. Error bars (based on triplicates) are smaller than the symbol if not visible, except for red points, which correspond to single analyses.

Fig. 8. Elemental atomic C/N ratio and organic isotopic values ($\delta^{13}$C$_{org}$) of bulk organic matter from Taskomirsay. Also represented are typical zones for different organic sources (modified from Meyers et al., 1997 with typical C/N values from Tyson, 1995). The $\delta^{13}$C$_{org}$ values are from Schnyder et al., accepted.

Fig. 9. Synthesized paleoclimatic parameters in Taskomirsay. Sporomorphs data and the $\delta^{13}$C$_{org}$ curve are from Schnyder et al., accepted. Temperatures (large red points) are based on brGDGTs and arrows show a cooling
trend (blue) and a warming trend (red). $\Delta^{2}\text{H}_{\text{tot-aq}}$ values were calculated as follows: mean $\delta^{2}\text{H-C}_{27}$ value minus mean $\delta^{2}\text{H-C}_{23}$ value.

**Fig. 10.** ACL values vs. $n$-alkane amounts. Significant logarithmic correlation occurs in lignites (black points).

See Fig. 2 for color caption.
**Table 1.** Bulk organic results. Isotopic values are reported with their standard deviation (1σ) calculated on replicates analyses (2 at least). Standard deviation calculated on tyrosines (±0.3‰) was applied for samples below 40 µg N (highlighted in grey).

- **a** total organic carbon
- **b** total nitrogen
- **c** atomic C/N ratio
- **d** inorganic bound nitrogen
- **e** organic nitrogen calculated by TN−N_{bnd-}

* TOC/N_{org} for clayey samples.

**Table 2.** Molecular geochemistry of the lipid extracts. Relative abundances of brGDGTs used for MAAT calculations are in supplementary data.

- **a** Percentage of lipids in dry sediments
- **b** brGDGT-derived temperatures calculated from Peterse et al. (2012)

**Table 3.** Hydrogen isotopes (δ^2H) of n-alkanes. δ^2H values and their standard deviation (SD) are expressed in per mille (‰) from C_{17} to C_{35} and of pristane and phytane.