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INTRODUCTION

Tree development is the result of both primary growth, involving the elongation and branching of the axes, and secondary growth, consisting of their thickening over time. Many approaches have been developed to study the structural and functional aspects of plants, particularly when used to address issues of carbon sequestration and wood energy. These approaches, however, whether examining secondary growth and its inter- or intra-specific variations, or the relationships between primary and secondary growth, are often based on only fragmentary studies due to the fact that data acquisition is often costly (Rossi et al., 2009).

The description of primary growth can be more or less extensive thanks to retrospective approaches (Barthélémy and Caraglio, 2007; Krause et al., 2010) while dendrochronological studies are generally performed only on portions of the ligneous plane (coring). Here, the more detailed the observation level, the shorter the part of the ligneous plane described by the data. Secondary growth originates from cambial activity, the source of the wood (xylem), and thus from the different cells that make up the xylem (ligneous plane).

The biological typing (Lachaud et al., 1999) of cells can be approached by examining their shape, size and spatial distribution, independent of their organization. The findings can then be used to determine the anatomical changes that characterize xylem production and differentiation phases (Thibeault-Martel et al., 2008; Guédon et al., 2013) without truly explaining wood production or growth mechanisms.

Indeed, the mechanisms of cambial growth can be understood only by studying cell pattern rhythmicity and cell disruption or modification in space and time. A spatio-evolutionary perspective must therefore be introduced to discuss these issues. Environmental fluctuations and their effects on the differentiation of wood elements (originating from the divisions of cambial cells) require production to be monitored in specific cellular organizations. Here, for the sake of simplicity, we consider two organizations: first, the growth ring that reflects cell production over a given time (Heinrich, 2007) and, secondly, the cell file that reflects the activity of the initial cell over time (Rozenberg et al., 2004).

Nicolini et al. (2003) highlighted the importance of secondary growth organization by studying cell motifs, spatial rhythmicity and the variability of successive growth rings to characterize plant space occupation strategies. This approach does not, however, address the mechanisms of cell differentiation or the construction of cell motifs and structures, which are time-dependent mechanisms. The precise, dynamic monitoring of cambial
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production at the initial cells (Rossi et al., 2006; Rathgeber et al., 2011) is technically limited to a small portion of the ligneous plane. Thus, the study of cell files, which result from cambial production and its local and overall fluctuations, is a promising approach to understanding the establishment, differentiation and temporal rhythmicity of cells (Gindl, 2001).

Biological questions concerning interactions between the development and growth of trees under environmental constraints (Moell and Donaldson, 2001) may be addressed by: (1) determining the contribution made to conduction and storage by the different cell types in the ligneous plane; (2) determining the variability of cell characteristics (vascularization elements, fibres, ray cells, vertical parenchyma); and (3) breaking down this variability by identifying that which can be attributed to ontogeny and that which can be attributed to an environmental response.

To interpret and compare internal observations with observations of external morphology, various parts of the plant’s architecture must be studied simultaneously (Barthelémy and Caraglio, 2007). In particular, observations should distinguish between the constitutive anatomical features of the plant (i.e. trunk, branch) over time, and generate a sufficiently large sample for the use of statistical tools.

However, these biological questions and their associated characterizations are highly constrained due to cumbersome protocols and the difficulties inherent in acquiring large datasets. Indeed, a precise understanding of growth mechanisms and their modelling has mostly been achieved by searching for invariants in large observation samples, thus restoring intra- and interspecies variability.

Progress made in the preparation of complete cross-sections of axes for ligneous plane observation from a macroscopic to a microscopic level without using histological sections (polishing), combined with modern image acquisition equipment (stage for programmed movements, vibratome, etc.), today provide access to quality information on broad areas of the ligneous plane. This technology, however, is hampered by its limited ability to manage and process data. Acquiring a slice 10 cm in diameter at ×200 magnification requires a mosaic of about 1000 images. On average, each image contains 500 cells and the manual evaluation of each image requires about 40 min using an image editor (ImageJ, Photoshop). Cellular arrangements are identified by studying several successive rings in several growth units, and here the number of images required is too large for manual processing. Usually, counts are made on three files per section (Rossi et al., 2006) to save time and reduce costs.

Automating the study of cell files would make it easier to establish tighter links to the functional and ecological aspects of the species by having a better appreciation of the range of phenomena variability. Wood provides a continuous record of all the developmental changes undergone by a tree (ontogeny, the least known) and a record over time of its environment (dendrochronology and dendroclimatology, the most studied). One particular concern with automated methods is the need to assess and quantify the reliability of their results. This means establishing reliability indicators for the results produced.

The automated identification of cell structures is one of the new challenges to be met in studies of the structural biology of plants (Quelhas et al., 2011), and requires a multidisciplinary approach. In matters of (bio)-imaging, cell segmentation is a problem that has been widely discussed in the literature (Baggett et al., 2005; Fourcaud et al., 2008). For example, Park and Keller (2001) combined four conventional image analysis approaches to segment cells: watershed algorithm (Vincent and Soille, 1991), snakes (Melnurney and Terzopoulos, 1999), multi-resolution analysis (Jeacocke and Lovell, 1994) and dynamic programming. In the specific context of wood, cell segmentation is generally combined with the determination of cell type, i.e. its biological characterization. For example, Wang et al. (2008) and Marcuzzo et al. (2009) sorted cells using the support vector machines (SVM) classification. This is a supervised incremental training method where the major challenge is to create the training data set that is sufficiently representative to recognize the intrinsic variability of individuals.

In studies of cellular organization, certain authors have used geometric models based on topology. This also provides a description of the cell’s surrounding environment. For example, the studies of Jones and Bishop (1996) and Kennel et al. (2010) were based on a graph that was orientated so as to extract cell files from images of gymnosperms. More specifically, cells are extracted by applying the watershed algorithm to the converted greyscale image. The adjacency graph of the cells is then built from the basin diagram. Cell typing obtained by the supervised classification method (CART; Breiman et al., 1984) can thus be used to extract tracheid alignments from the graph. This method is sensitive to the reinforcement training used to classify biological types.

From a technical perspective, the searches we conducted failed to find any software solutions suitable for the automatic identification of cell files. Specialized commercially available tools such as WinCell (Hitz et al., 2008) are intended for use in the analysis of wood cells, but do not recognize or characterize cellular organizations. Users have only limited or no possibility of improving their functions. By contrast, commercial platforms such as Visilog (Travis et al., 1996) offer a rich environment, but are not sufficiently specialized, because although it is possible to create macro functions (by assembling and configuring basic ones), it is impossible to add new basic functions.

Open source platforms such as ImageJ (Clair et al., 2007) are an interesting option as their source codes may be enriched by special functions, and specialized macros may be developed. At the same time, they facilitate method sharing and dissemination. It is thus possible to develop new functions for automated processing. We thus preferred this solution for our technical development.

In this paper we describe a cell file detection method that is based solely on cell geometry (size and shape), not on biological type, thus avoiding the bias and uncertainty inherent to the creation of reinforcement learning representing biological variability. Reliability indices are employed to determine the accuracy of the results produced for both the geometrical parameters characterizing the cell and its components (lumen and wall), and the identification of cellular alignments. Results are presented from application of the process to real images of wood slices, obtained by a plugin implementation in ImageJ (Schneider et al. 2012), a Java-based image analysis freeware.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study species

For the purposes of our study we examined histological sections and blocks of gymnosperms, specifically Pinus canariensis,
P. nigra and Abies alba. Results were extrapolated to angiosperms by studying histological sections of Khaya ivorensis.

Any detailed study of cell typology requires an examination on multiple planes. In our study, we therefore considered only three biological cell types: tracheids, which provide support and are the main cells present in the radial cut; vessels; and rays. For each cell we calculated its circularity, height, wall thickness, and surface area of the cell and its lumen.

Preparation protocol and microscopy

Two preparation methods were evaluated to verify the general applicability of the processing and analytical techniques used.

The conventional preparation method for the study of cell files consists of creating a mosaic of histological sections from wood cores. In practice, this approach does not guarantee the juxtaposition of samples or tissue integrity. It is also relatively time-intensive as it takes approx. 1 h to prepare a mosaic of ten stained cores. In practice, this protocol is better suited to the study of relatively smaller areas of observation. The study of larger areas relies instead on the observation and measurements of discs of sanded wood. We thus developed a protocol that combined the sanding and polishing of wood discs to facilitate automated measurements on the entire ligneous plane.

Thin histological cuts. Producing a single cross-section of 20–25 μm thickness using a vibratome takes approx. 5–15 min depending on the case. The sections are then stained to increase the wall/lumen contrast, with the dye binding to the wall. The cleaning and staining steps take 30 min. The full protocol therefore requires approx. 1 h per cut. This method is able to produce histological section mosaics on several rings, depending on core dimensions.

Sanded wood discs. Samples were taken from pine felled in April 2013. Wood discs (about 2.5 cm thick) were cut from the centre of each growth unit. At this stage, it is important, although not always easy, to obtain samples in which the two sides are as parallel as possible. Samples were dried outdoors in the shade, sheltered from the rain, from May to July. A belt sander (Festool BS 105) was then passed over the samples using successively finer grit paper (60, 120 and 220).

The following equipment was used for the pre-polishing and polishing steps: a semi-automatic polisher fitted with a 30-cm-diameter magnetic plate (Struers); abrasive discs (Sic foil or paper depending on the grit used); wool polishing cloth (MD MOL); alcohol-based lubricant (DP blue); and diamond spray (spray DP). The discs were placed in the sample holder and fixed with double-sided tape. Disc processing is outlined in Table 1.

After the last step, the samples were removed from the holder and rinsed with deionized water. To avoid damage, care was taken not to touch the fragile polished side of the samples. To protect from dust, the samples were wrapped in a laboratory fibre paper. Images were acquired the next day.

Scanning. Scanning, which is performed within 24 h of sample preparation, consists of producing a mosaic of images representing the observation area. The time between sample preparation and scanning must be as short as possible such that the natural drying of the wood does not produce microdeformations affecting the uniformity or ‘flatness’ of the surface, thus compromising the sharpness of the images produced.

In our study, the transverse planes were scanned by an Olympus DP71 LCD camera mounted on an Olympus BX51 microscope equipped with a movable stage, thus allowing, by gradual movements of the slide, the creation of a mosaic of images.

A magnification of × 200 was used to obtain significant cell surfaces while maintaining a large enough study area.

The position of the mosaic image is determined by the x and y movements of the stage. Here, the maximum viewing area was 10 × 10 cm², corresponding to a mosaic of about 1500 images. Each image was defined by 1600 × 1200 pixels, which were encoded on 3 bytes in the RGB system. The images may be blurred locally or peripherally due to optical distortions or variations in sample thickness.

Image analysis

The image analysis process followed a three-step sequence, starting with cell identification, then cell geometrical characterization and finally file detection. Cell typing and a determination of the reliability of the results obtained are detailed below.

Each image processing step was itself divided into several tasks, as described below.

Cell segmentation. This first step aimed to identify the different cells in the original image. It was divided into three tasks: image noise reduction preprocessing, contrast enhancement by filtering and colour to greyscale conversion, and cell identification from basins generated by the watershed algorithm.

Image noise reduction. At the magnifications used, the images acquired showed ‘salt and pepper’-type noise, caused by heat from the lamp. This noise was attenuated using a median filter applied on a 5 × 5-pixel neighbourhood.

### Table 1. Sanded wood discs protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Abrasive type</th>
<th>Disc rotation (r.p.m.)</th>
<th>Rotating sample holder</th>
<th>Direction of rotation</th>
<th>Duration of step (min)</th>
<th>Liquid lubricant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sic Foil 320</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≫</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sic Foil 500</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≫</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sic Foil 800</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≫</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Sic Foil 1200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≫</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sic paper 4000</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≫</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>MD MOL</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>≫</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>DP blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DP spray P3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abrasives: Sic Foil 320, Sic Foil 500, Sic Foil 800, Sic Foil 1200, Sic paper 4000, MD MOL; Abrasive products: Sic, Sic Foil, Sic paper, Foil, 320, 500, 800, 1200, 4000, MD MOL.
Contrast enhancement. The micrographs showed alternating light areas, corresponding to the lumen, and dark areas, corresponding to the wall. To enhance the contrast between different areas in the image and highlight the walls and lumens, we used a Difference of Gaussian (DoG) operator (Haddad and Akansu, 1991). This filter acts as a band-pass, only letting through the frequency range corresponding to the lumen and filtering out the noise present at higher frequencies. The first image was built from a slightly blurred Gaussian filter application with smoothing factor being selected close to average wall size. The second image was built from a heavily blurred Gaussian filter application with smoothing factor tenfold that used for the first image. The heavily blurred image was then subtracted from the slightly blurred image. These operations were performed on colour images, with negative values being set to zero. This process boosts the intensity of the lumen while substantially reducing wall intensities.

From colour to greyscale images. The choice of colour system is an important aspect of colour image processing. Several studies have shown that the ‘best system’ depends on image contents (Busin et al., 2009). For our study, we preferred the RGB system in which colours correspond to the wavelengths that stimulate the three cones of the human eye. This system can define all the hues but not all the saturations.

However, if the watershed algorithm is to be applied, a colour to greyscale image conversion is required, given that our intention was to avoid basing automated processing on human perception. Instead to use the relative luminance formula, which give less weight to blue and more weight to red and green components, we preferred to apply a simple average of the three colour channels.

Cell extraction and identification. Cell extraction from the grayscale image was based on the watershed algorithm. This powerful algorithm (Vincent and Soille, 1991) considers the image as a landscape, with altitude is given by grey values. Here, the lower points in the topographic relief, corresponding to dark regions, act as catchment basins (as if a drop of water were to fall onto the topography) and are separated by a watershed, represented by the lighter pixels.

These catchment basins are thus obtained from a partition of the image. The crest lines constitute the intercellular border, corresponding to the middle lamella (Fig. 1C). The watershed algorithm is known to produce an exaggerated partitioning, resulting in lines that abnormally intersect cells. This phenomenon is due to the fact that each local minimum in the image produces a potential catchment basin. Typically, two methods are used to reduce or even eliminate the exaggerated partitioning: a priori filtering of the local minima values (Gilmore and Kelley, 1995) used to initialize the watershed, or a posteriori merging of similar adjacent basins in terms of both mean and minimal intensity (Beucher, 2012). Neither method guarantees perfect cleaning of the watershed lines. Here, we propose a method based on the biological observation that the lumen of the cell is empty. Hence, if a watershed line crossing a lumen shows a characteristic intensity profile whose overall maximum is greater than a threshold experimentally set from average lumen values, then it will be deleted. Conversely, a watershed line near the middle lamella contains only values below the low-intensity threshold (Brunel et al., 2012).

After cleaning the watershed. An adjacency graph of the basins was used to describe the neighbouring relationships between the different basins. This is a simple graph conventionally defined by a set of vertices and a set of edges. More precisely, each edge of the graph connects the geometric centres of two neighbouring basins, i.e. incidents at the same crest line.

Geometric properties at the cell scale. Each cell was then individualized. Cells are composed of two anatomical structures: the wall and the lumen. On the original colour image, these structures are automatically divided into two classes by an unsupervised two-means classification algorithm (Forgy, 1965), also equivalent to Otsu’s thresholding operator. The bright pixels class corresponds to the lumen and the dark pixels class to the wall. Surface areas are calculated simply by counting the pixels in each class.

Cell geometrical properties at larger scales: the global cell arrangement orientation. When considering a basin in the adjacency graph, it can be seen that the cells are arranged in a staggered manner, and the edges of the graph are orientated in three directions. At the full graph scale, the most frequent orientation corresponds to the cellular alignments. This is defined as the main direction. We will use the method originally described by Jones and Bishof (1996) and reused by Brunel et al. (2012), studying the distribution (via a histogram) of the angle that each edge of the adjacency graph forms with the horizontal. In theory, due to the arrangement of the cells, the distribution should be trimodal and show at least one marked amplitude mode. The mode of the greatest amplitude indicates the most represented orientation in the adjacency graph, i.e. the main
orientation of the files (Fig. 2). This mode is retrieved by searching the histogram maximum amplitude.

**File detection**

The process used to identify cell files in an image is based on the following assumptions: (1) files are pair-wise alignments of similar cells (in terms of size and shape) and (2) cell alignments are independent of the orientation of the image.

File identification is based on a double scale constructive approach, applied to the adjacency graph. The first step builds cell alignments, i.e. candidate cell files under spatial and geometric constraints. The second step manages the case of alignment recoveries. Finally, the resulting isolated alignments are linked to build cell file fusions.

**Building alignments by applying spatial and geometric constraints.** This task extracts the longer rectilinear straight paths of ‘geometrically similar’ vertices from the adjacency graph. In other words, it detects a vertex sequence along which the surface of the underlying basin varies gradually. These alignments are constructed step by step, by successive aggregations of vertices. More specifically, it means finding which vertex \(w\) of the graph must be added at the end of the \(v\) line under construction to complete it.

The **main mode** centred on 0° corresponds to horizontal files. (B) The main mode is split on the \([-90°, +90°]\) interval borders.

**File identification is based on a double scale constructive approach,** applied to the adjacency graph. The first step builds cell alignments, i.e. candidate cell files under spatial and geometric constraints. The second step manages the case of alignment recoveries. Finally, the resulting isolated alignments are linked to build cell file fusions.

The main mode centred on 0° corresponds to horizontal files. (A) The main mode is split on the \([-90°, +90°]\) interval borders.

"Fig. 2. Histograms showing the angle distribution on the full adjacency graph; each angle is defined by an adjacency graph edge direction on the \(x\) axis. (A) The main mode centred on 0° corresponds to horizontal files. (B) The main mode is split on the \([-90°, +90°]\) interval borders."
As above, we use the Dijkstra algorithm here, and the terms of Euclidean distance corresponds to the best alignment. The greater the size difference between successive cells, the greater the Euclidean distance. The shortest path in distance. The greater the angular deviation, the greater the Euclidean distance. This distance sums the angle and shape considered in file building. The geometrical centres of the watershed basin is used as a criterion. The Euclidean distance between the geographical centers of the vertices located on the shortest path linking two files are added to the cell alignment by the Dijkstra algorithm (Cormen et al. 2001). The criteria employed are described in the next section. Finally, vertex \( w \) becomes the new extremity of the alignment and the search process is repeated until the alignment construction stops.

Once stopped, the detected alignment builds a candidate cell file. The two next steps (recovery management and fusion) then concern the cell file level.

(2) Managing recoveries. During construction, some vertices may be assigned to multiple files due to the presence of biological organizations (vessels and channels) that disrupt the staggered arrangement. The assignment of these vertices to the most optimal file is solved by the shortest path search algorithm (Brunel et al., 2012). The merging process is then based on two further assumptions: (1) each file crosses the image from one side to the other and (2) the files do not intersect. A file section does not fulfill the first assumption, i.e. one or both tip(s) do(es) not match with the underlying image border.

Before validating the alignment as a cell file section, a feedback control test is applied as the construction process should be reversible.

This feedback uses the main orientation of the file as a reference to reduce file drift. When a vertex \( w \) is chosen, a check is performed that the reciprocal is true, i.e. that we obtain current vertex \( v \) when falling back from vertex \( w \) using the main orientation of the files as a directional reference. If reciprocity is not confirmed, the construction of the file stops. If it is confirmed, the vertices located on the shortest path linking \( v \) to \( w \) are added to the cell alignment by the Dijkstra algorithm (Cormen et al. 2001). The criteria employed are described in the next section. Finally, vertex \( w \) becomes the new extremity of the alignment and the search process is repeated until the alignment construction stops.

(3) Linking isolated cell file sections. Due to the presence of intrusions, cell tears or limitations of the watershed algorithm, the file identification process may cause isolated file sections to appear (Fig. 4). Here, merging can be used to concentrate several parts of the files using simple topological rules to establish whole files, based on file adjacency (Brunel et al., 2012). Let us assume that two files are adjacent when two of their cells are adjacent.

The merging process is then based on two further assumptions: (1) each file crosses the image from one side to the other and (2) the files do not intersect. A file section does not fulfill the first assumption, i.e. one or both tip(s) do(es) not match with the underlying image border.

From those assumptions, we deduce a property resulting from file adjacency.

Given two file sections \( T2 \) and \( T2' \) adjacent to files \( F1 \) and \( F3 \), it is highly likely that \( T2 \) and \( T2' \) are two parts of a single \( F2 \) file. In other words, \( T2 \) and \( T2' \) are located between \( F1 \) and \( F2 \).

Note that, under the exposed assumptions, restricted cases of file merging concern only sections between two lines crossing the image from side to side. In other cases, it was decided to leave the situation at the expert’s discretion and evaluation.

This method creates files or sections of files with high confidence (Fig. 5, right). Note that isolated cells or artefacts (due to segmentation errors or to poor image resolution preventing an acceptable segmentation) cannot be part of any file and no attempt is made to connect them to a file. Complex cases are left to the discretion of the user.

Typing

Cell typing is the ultimate step as used to classify the different cells present (fibrous, tracheids, vessels, rays, etc.). It is based on the geometric and densitometric characterization of the catchment basins associated with the cells’ adjacency graph vertices. Unlike Kennel et al. (2010), we decided to discard supervised classification due to the difficulties encountered in providing reinforcement training that is both sufficiently complete and representative of biological variability.

A decision tree (Fig. 6) was established with wood anatomists and is based on the following observations that are sequentially tested:

1. The perimeter of the cells, denoted \( P \), can be used to differentiate ‘large’ structures from generally ‘small’ cells.
2. The circularity coefficient (Zunic and Hirota, 2008) is given by a weighted ratio between the surface area and the squared perimeter \( -4\pi (area/perimeter^2) \). Circularities range between 0.0 and 1.0: the circularity of a circle is 1, and is far less than for a starfish footprint. Values may not be valid for very small particles due to the definition of perimeter and area in a square grid.

The thresholds used in the decision tree are re-evaluated for each image by automated classification of their numerical values. The classifier used is an implementation of two-means clustering. This conventional data mining method consists of...
Fig. 5. Left. The native image; the images show different the preparations (sanded wood and stained histology sections), species (*Pinus*, fir, *Pinus*, mahogany) and clades (gymnosperm and angiosperm) that were processed. Right. Automatic identification of cell files; random colouring is used to enhance the visibility of the identified files (these colours should not to be confused with the reliability index colour).
allocating \( n \) observations in two clusters to minimize the intra-
and maximize inter-class standard deviation. The threshold is
therefore assigned by the median value between the upper
bound of the weakest group and the lower bound of the strongest
group.

Beyond the identification of alignments and biological typing,
the anatomist requires a set of numerical results characterizing
the shape, size and nature of more or less complex biological
structures (walls, lumen, cells, files, etc.). These characteristics
are defined by parameters that are automatically evaluated. In
the context of mass processing, it is advantageous to be able to
qualify the accuracy of these evaluations. A certainty index is
thus assigned to each one calculated, whether it focuses on the
cells, their components or their alignments.

Reliability

Intuitively, the computed measures will be more or less close
to the true value depending on the cumulative errors stemming
from image quality, biological configuration and algorithmic
approximations. It is therefore important to assign each result a
reliability estimation.

A file \( f \) must be sufficiently long to be significant; its length
\( L_f \) must be greater than threshold \( L \). \( L \) is defined from the
length \( L_f \) distribution analysis. For each image the threshold is
re-evaluated to reflect the biological characteristics inherent to
each of them as a file must be composed of similar cells in
terms of shape, size and appearance. For the sake of simplicity,
these three criteria are reduced to the single height of cells
declared from all points in the normal direction of the file. This
simplification stems from the maturation mechanism of trac-
heids, for which cell variations only concern cell extension,
not thickening. Clearly, this mechanism cannot be applied to
broadleaved trees, but in practice this single criterion appears
to be sufficient to characterize the continuous variation observed
in the cell files of broadleaved trees. As a result, the heights \( H_j \)
and \( H_{j+1} \) of consecutive cells in file \( f \) are compared. The reliability
coefficient \( R_f \) of file \( f \) is described by a product of standardized
terms ranging from 0 to 1.

\[
R_f = \left( 1 - \max \left( \frac{L - L_f}{L}, 0 \right) \right) \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{|H_j - H_{j+1}|}{H_j + H_{j+1}} \right)
\] (2)

The closer the coefficient to 1, the more reliable file \( f \).

By analogy, we can determine reliability coefficients for
the geometrical parameters that characterize file cells. The
expression for this coefficient will depend on the nature of each
parameter and more specifically on the factors influencing that
parameter. The surface area of the basin is independent of
local image blur because it is obtained by watershed segmenta-
tion, while the surface area of the lumen is highly sensitive to
local image blur because it is obtained by an intensity classifica-
tion. The reliability coefficient for the lumen is therefore directly
related to blur level. Ladjal (2006) defined a blur indicator that
characterizes the spread of maximum intensity amplitudes, i.e.
the speed at which the signal passes from the lowest to the
highest intensity. This is defined by the following expression
where \( p(x) \) shows the pixel intensity of a basin and \( p'(x) \) the
variance.

\[
\bar{z}(p) = \frac{\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p(x) - \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} p(x)}{\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |p'(x)|} = \frac{\text{amplitude}(1)}{\max(\nabla f)}
\] (3)

When the coefficient is high, blur is strong. The reliability coef-

er for lumen surface area \( (I_{ls}) \) is defined below where thresh-
old \( T_s \) is defined by studying lumen variation using a Gaussian
blur. Surface areas above the threshold are not significant.

\[
I_{ls} = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \bar{z}(p) > T_s \\
1 - \frac{\bar{z}(p)}{T_s} & \text{else}
\end{cases}
\] (4)

Note that the accuracy of the surface areas and circularities are
sensitive to the size of the objects measured; for example, the
circularity of a discrete circle (Andrés, 1994) is meaningful only
above 10 pixel rays.

Parameter computation

Our method produces several layers of results corresponding
to different observation levels: (1) radial files are classified
according to their length and their cellular self-similarity, (2)
cells are characterized by geometric size, diameter, shape, etc.,
and (3) cell components (wall, lumen) are characterized by geo-
metric parameters (size, thickness, diameter, etc.).

The method has been implemented in Java and integrated as a
plug-in for ImageJ freeware. As an indication, the plug-in allows
images consisting of 1600 × 1200 pixels to be processed in less
than 20 s on a computer with an Intel Q720 processor clocked at
1.6 GHz.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study described herein aimed to compare the results pro-
duced by our method with those in an expert database containing
12 images whose cells had been manually cropped by wood
anatomists. Our results were obtained without any specific user
settings (Fig. 5): all parameters were automatically re-evaluated
by the application based on the intensity histogram generated
for each image. The different tests conducted allowed us to evalu-
ate the limitations of our method, namely with regard to different
biological contexts, different preparation protocols, and diverse
and varied acquisition conditions. The tests were essentially
motivated by simple questions: (1) is our method suitable for both angiosperms and gymnosperms? and (2) is our method sensitive to preparation protocols, to optical blur, to luminosity levels, etc.?

Qualitative performance

At this stage in our studies, the performance of our method was subject to a qualitative assessment by comparing – in a fairly global manner – the results produced with those of an expert appraisal. Of course, it is always possible to compare "processing" time, number of cells detected or files identified (Table 2). For example, Table 2 shows that the automatic method detects a consistent number of cells about 80–100-fold more rapidly than the expert, and this regardless of preparation protocol, anatomic configuration or magnification used, and that, overall, our method works well (88 % of files detected on average) across important to ensure the robustness of the basin detection, i.e. the method used must be precise in cell cropping and insensitive to image blurring.

Figure 7 compares about 60 normalized areas obtained by the manual and automated methods. The areas were divided by the median area to refine the regression. The coefficient of determination tends toward 1, showing a good fit.

FIG. 7. Study of basin surfaces on mahogany. The x-axis shows the normalized areas obtained by the fully manual method. The y-axis shows those obtained by the automated method. The coefficient of determination is close to 1, showing a good fit.

FIG. 8. Comparison of watershed results on a Pinus cross-section. (A) Crest lines from a sharp image. (B) Crest lines from a blurred image. Basin outlines are virtually identical between the sharp and blurred images. The sharp image has a small additional basin corresponding to wall detachment caused by cutting. This basin was deleted in the supernumerary removal phase.

The low shift of 0·0127 confirms the middle error of 5 % on right areas. The automated method appeared to over-estimate the values (or the expert appraisal under-estimated the values). The only certainty is that the automated method is repeatable. The major limitation to the automatic file identification method stems from image content, namely the photometric characteristics of the image and the structural biological layouts. On file identification, only geometric accuracy is studied. The geometric shift is deliberately not taken into account as it is considered to be negligible with respect to cell surface area. However, the study could feasibly be extended to geometric shift, namely to assess the geometric overlap between expert annotations and segmentation algorithms.

A study was conducted on the effect of optical blur, which is often present in images and is caused by peripheral deformations due to the microscope lens or sample flatness. The algorithmic stability of our method was evaluated by acquisitions of the same image area with different adjustments. A statistical evaluation of cell cropping variability was then performed. We did highlight the fact that the intercellular lines obtained by the watershed algorithm are generally unchanged by image blurring. They correspond, in fact, to gradient slope inversions: the image smoothing produced by the optical blur reduces the intensity dynamic of the image without removing the changes in intensity variations. Overall, the crest lines remain unchanged (Fig. 8).

Figure 9 compares 60 areas obtained from a sharp and a blurred image. The coefficient of determination tends toward 1 showing that the areas are closely correlated. The slope of the regression is weakly greater than 1, indicating slight over-estimation by the automated method.

TABLE 2. Outline of some significant results: size of processed images, number of extracted cells, CPU time on a PC with an Intel Xeon at 2.3 GHz, and total Quality Index defined as the ratio of number of files automatically identified and reconstructed over total number of lines; for the purposes of the expert analysis, the cells were manually cropped using ImageJ software.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Size (pixels)</th>
<th>Expert Cell numbers</th>
<th>Expert Times (s)</th>
<th>Plugin Cell numbers</th>
<th>Plugin Times (s)</th>
<th>% of files detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mahogany</td>
<td>1024 × 768</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>1359</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fir</td>
<td>1360 × 1024</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Pine 1</td>
<td>1600 × 1200</td>
<td>1794</td>
<td>2750</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caribbean Pine</td>
<td>1360 × 1024</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Pine 2</td>
<td>1600 × 1200</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>1458</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
images. The method therefore yielded almost identical results for both sharp and blurred images.

Reliability and blur

Image blurring is a source of potentially major bias when evaluating lumen areas and wall widths. As indicated before, the cell segmentation is based on a two-means clustering. This classification is sensitive to the intensity dynamics of the cellular points and is therefore modified if intensity dynamics are reduced by optical blur (Fig. 10). We observed that the surface area of the lumen for a given cell was reduced when blur increased, i.e. when intensity dynamics decreased.

The local blur indicator introduced earlier is used to estimate the error on the surface area measurements of cell constituents. The figure on the left shows the consistent behaviour of the blur estimator that was calculated from cells considered in images that were digitally disrupted by an incremental Gaussian blur.

Therefore, the local blur indicator can be used to filter the results and retain only the sharpest cells. It is used, in particular, to create mosaic images (Brunel et al., 2013), for retention of the most reliable basins. It could also possibly provide a correction factor for measures made on areas that are more or less subjected to optical blur.

Reliability of files

The overall reliability coefficient can be used for file characterization of files, in terms of both significant expression and geometric behaviour, i.e. a ‘good’ file is a sufficiently long line in which cell size varies gradually. This coefficient acts directly to filter the files (Fig. 11). Files with a good coefficient are shown in green while those with a poor coefficient are in red. The red–orange hues indicate configurations with cuts or sharp discontinuities. This estimator is well suited to softwoods with very organized and continuous cell arrangements. In angiosperms, it may be used for a rapid visualization of vessels and adjacent files. These files are useful for analysing the endogenous geometric constraints caused by vessels on files.

This indicator is ultimately a very integrated aspect of the files identified. It is more comprehensive than the performance indices mentioned in the first part of the results, and is also more complex to explain as it goes beyond file identification reliability to actually reflect file quality. For example, some alignments, shown in yellow in the image below, appear to be ‘visually correct’ while their coefficient is rather low. They are penalized by excessively elevated surface area variations. For example, the third orange file from the left looks to be good, but small basins can be seen within the file arising from over-segmentation caused by wall detachment. Files can be filtered for statistical analysis thanks to their cell coefficients.
General conclusions

The method described herein works correctly for images that show both high contrast between walls and lumens (without local color inversion) and a visible cellular organization. Under these conditions, all the obvious lines determined by qualified experts are correctly identified with significant time savings (a typical manually expert identification required 10 h on our samples). Moreover, the certainty index allows for selective exploitation of the results for statistical studies.

CONCLUSIONS

The automated analysis of anatomical wood sections will contribute greatly to understanding secondary plant growth and development. Herein, we propose a fully automatic method for the recognition of operational cell files in mass processing.

We have also established reliability coefficients to characterize the files, their components and their measurement. These reliability coefficients are mainly used to filter results for statistical studies conducted by botanists and aim to draw their attention to specific biological configurations.

We have shown that the method is equally applicable to digital images of stained histological wood sections and images of sanded ligneous planes.

File identification is based on detection of cell alignments showing gradual geometric variations. The cells in the image are automatically cropped by watershed lines calculated from a set of judiciously filtered local minima, thus reducing the risk of exaggerated segmentation. Cell files are defined by the longest straight vertices that are ‘geometrically similar’ to the adjacency graph created from the watershed catchment basins. These alignments are constructed one after the other by successive aggregations of vertices in progressively enlarged neighbourhoods. Unlike traditional approaches, cell typing here is not based on the creation of reinforcement training, but on a decision tree established with wood anatomists.

In addition to file detection, the cells are characterized by a set of values (wall thickness, lumen surface, cell circularity, etc.) that are necessary for the statistical analysis of files and their variability. To ensure that the measures are relevant, reliability indices have been created, based on existing methods and biological reality (file length and continuity). These indices are used to select the most important files or highlight particular biological disturbances or configurations.

The percentage of unusable files is offset by the quantity of images that can be processed. Mass processing allows us to study spinal files up to the cambium over several wood growth units.

These initial results are already very interesting and confirm that the method has great potential and could be suitable for statistical studies and the detection of invariants or trends in large observation samples. Comparative studies undertaken with images annotated by experts have shown that the proposed method is time-efficient and generally insensitive to the optical blur potentially present in micrographs. A blur indicator has been introduced to study the stability of the method and in the short term could be used to correct the measurements obtained. Finally, a reliability indicator to characterize files, in terms of both significant expression and geometric behaviour, is given either to draw the attention of experts to specific biological configurations or to remove potentially erroneous data from statistical studies.
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